## STAT 101B Spring 2020 Midterm Exam

Name: \_\_\_\_\_KEY\_\_\_\_

SID:\_\_\_

- You are allowed to use your Notes.
- You are allowed to use any type of calculator including R as an arithmetic calculator.
- Budget you time wisely. You need time to put your answers together and upload it to ccle as pdf format.
- **Q1**) (10 pts.) **Answer the Following Multiple choice questions (1-5): (2 points Each)** 1) The objective of a study was to find out whether there was any relationship between level of education (high school, four year college, and graduate work) and attitude toward pre-screening for breast cancer (i.e., going for mammograms)

|               | sum of square | degrees of<br>freedom | mean square | F | Р |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|---|---|
| between group | 1815.068      | 2                     | 907.534     | ? | ? |
| within group  | 51912.079     | 509                   | 101.988     |   |   |
| total         | 53727.147     | 511                   |             |   |   |

Given the above data, what is the best answer? Select one:

<sup>O</sup> a. Reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the higher the level of education the more positive the attitude toward seeking prescreening for breast cancer.

- <sup>C</sup> b. Reject the null and conclude there is a relationship between level of education and seeking prescreening for breast cancer.
- <sup>C</sup> c. Fail to reject the null and conclude that there is no relationship between level of education and attitude toward prescreening for breast cancer.
- <sup>C</sup> d. Fail to reject the null and conclude that high school, four-year college, and graduates have a similar attitude toward prescreening for breast cancer.

2) 138 students were taught mathematics through cooperative learning. They were pre-tested and post-tested on their knowledge of fractions and the following data was reported. pretest mean = 39.6

postest mean =  $59.0^{\circ}$ posttest mean =  $59.41^{\circ}$ r (correlation between pre and post data ) =  $0.46^{\circ}$ 95% CI =  $15.8, 23.72^{\circ}$ What is the best answer? Select one:

- a. The statistical method used was the paired sample test of the mean. We need the p value to decide if the null was rejected.
- b. The one sample test of the mean was used to test the null that gain on fraction is zero and we are 95% confident that the students gained between 16% to 24% on their knowledge of fractions.
- c. The statistical method used to analyze the data was the two sample test of the mean and the null was not rejected.
- $^{\circ}$  d. The statistical method used was the paired sample test of the mean and the null was rejected.

3) A researcher conducts One-Way ANOVA to find out whether there is any relationship between major (engineering, mathematics, statistics, and computer science) and annual income upon graduation from college. She collects this data on a random sample of 400, (100 from each discipline). What does SS <sub>Within</sub> show?

Select one:

- A) the average annual income of each group minus the mean annual income for all subjects squared, added, and multiplied by 100.
- B) The annual income of each subject minus the mean of income for his group squared and added.
- C) annual income for each subject minus the average income for all 400 subjects squared and added.
- D) The variance for all the annual income of all the 400 subjects multiplied by 399.

4) When conducting a one-way ANOVA, the \_\_\_\_\_\_ the between-treatment variability is when compared to the within-treatment variability, the \_\_\_\_\_\_ the value of  $F_{\text{DATA}}$  will be tend to be. a. smaller, larger

- b. smaller, smaller
- c. larger, larger
- d. smaller, more random
- e. larger, more random

5) When the k population means are truly different from each other, it is likely that the average error deviation:

- a. is relatively large compared to the average treatment deviations
- b. is relatively small compared to the average treatment deviations
- c. is about equal to the average treatment deviation
- d. differs significantly between at least two of the populations
- e. none of the above

## **Multiple Choice Answers:**

| Q1 Part # | 1                                      | 2 | 3 | 4      | 5 |
|-----------|--|---|---|--------|---|
| Answer    | B Full credit<br>, A partial<br>credit | D | В | B or C | В |

Q2) Case Study: An article in the Journal of Testing and Evaluation (Vol. 16, no.2, pp. 508-515) investigated the effects of cyclic loading "Frequency" and environmental conditions on fatigue crack growth at a constant 22 MPa stress for a particular material. The head of the data from this experiment are shown below (the response is crack growth rate). (40 points) Giving a final answer may not guarantee you a full credit. You need to show your work. > head(Q2Data) # A tibble: 6 x 3 Frequency Environment `Crack Growth` 1 0.1 Air 2.082 3 2.81 0.1 Air 2.71 0.1 Air 2.24 4 0.1 Air 2.38 5 Ai r 6 Ai r 2.06 1 > dim(Q2Data) [1] 36 3 > table(Q2DataSEnvironment) H20 Salt H20 Ai r 12 12 12 > table(as.factor(Q2Data\$Frequency))

A) (I) Create a Factor diagram for this experiment:



A (II) List the sources of variation in this study along with their corresponding labels and their degrees of freedom.

| Source of Variation                  | d.f. |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| Factor 1: Frequency                  | 2    |
| Factor 2: Environment                | 2    |
| Interaction: Frequency X Environment | 4    |
| Residual                             | 27   |

(B) Suppose you have decided to block by Frequency. Not considering the interaction between the two factors. Which of the two above designs (two factors vs "one factor one blocking factor) have more power (*Fixing*  $\alpha$ )? Why?

The two factors design has more power than one factor and one blocking factor. Since more of the SST is explained in the two factor design, we have less SSE which gives a smaller , *so* we get more power. (C) How large a sample size would be needed for comparing the average crack growth of two environment levels only (Air and H20). Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ , to detect a difference between means of 2.5 or more with Power = 0.90, given  $\sigma = 3$ . 301666

 $n = \frac{2 * 3.301666^2 (1.96 + 1.28)^2}{2.5^2} = 36.61898 \approx 37 \text{ for each group.}$ A total of 2\*37 = 74 samples. Any number really close is ok.

> pwr.t.test(d=2.5/3.301666, power=0.90, type="two.sample")

Two-sample t test power calculation

n = 37.64002d = 0.7571935sig.level = 0.05power = 0.9alternative = two.sided

NOTE: n is number in \*each\* group

(D) (6 pts.) Match the following Effect sizes to their correspondence Plot:





For Effect Size = 0.20 and Power = 0.80 then n = 300

```
Q3) Given the following statistical summaries for the study in Q2 (Averages in a balanced
design 4 observations in each cell). (50 Points)
Giving a final answer may not guarantee you a full credit.
You need to show your work.
Given that: > model.tables(Q2aov)
Tables of effects
 Frequency
Frequency
     0.1
                  1
                          10
 1.3606
          1.0205 - 2.3811
 Envi ronment
Envi ronment
                 H20 Salt H20
      Ai r
 -1.8806
              1.0978
                         0.7828
 Frequency: Envi ronment
           Envi ronment
Frequency Air
                      H20
                                 Salt H20
       0. 1 - 1. 3181
                       0.7041
                                 0.6140
            - 0. 9885 0. 5281
                                 0.4605
       1
             2.3066 - 1.2322 - 1.0744
       10
Given that \sigma_{Crack\ Growth} = 3.301666 and \bar{y} \dots = 4.294722
   A) (10 pts.) Find the marginal averages for each level of the two factors:
       Frequency: i = 1, 2, 3; and Environment: j = 1, 2, 3. i = 1 represents frequency = 0.1
       Find also the average per combination for the missing ones only in the output below
       Calculate the following:
       \overline{v}i...
                \overline{y}_1 \dots =
                                                               \overline{y}_{3..} =
                                         \overline{y}_2 \dots =
  aggregate(formula=Q1Data$`Crack Growth`~Q1Data$Frequency, FUN=mean)
  Q1Data$Frequency Q1Data$`Crack Growth`
                                        7.660833
                   0.1
1
2
3
                   1.0
                                        3. 109167
                  10.0
                                        2.114167
       \bar{y}.j.:
                 \overline{y}_{.1.} =
                                         \overline{y}_{.2.} =
                                                                \overline{y}_{.3} =
  aggregate(formul a=Q1Data$`Crack Growth`~Q1Data$Environment, FUN=mean)
  Q1Data$Environment Q1Data$`Crack Growth`
                     Ai r
                                           2.414167
1
2
3
                     H20
                                           5.392500
               Salt H20
                                           5.077500
       \overline{y}ij.:
                                                               \overline{y}_{13.} =
                  \overline{y}_{11.} =
                                         \overline{y}_{12} =
  aggregate(formula=`Crack Growth`~Frequency+Environment, FUN=mean)
>
  Frequency Environment Crack Growth
         0.1
                         Ai r
                                      2.4600
1
4
7
          0.1
                         H20
                                     10.5900
          0.1
                   Salt H20
                                     9.9325
```

B) (10 pts.) Create an Interaction plot using Frequency as your x-axis. What can you conclud e from your graph?



C) (10 pts.) Find SS<sub>Total.</sub>, SS<sub>Frequncy</sub>, SS<sub>Environment</sub> and SS<sub>Frequncy X Environment</sub> Given that  $SS_{Residuals} = 5.42$ 

 $SS_{Total.} = 35^*(3.301666)^2 = 381.535$ 

 $SS_{Frequncy} = 4*3*[(7.660833-4.294722)^{2} + (3.109167-4.294722)^{2} + (2.114167-4.294722)^{2}] = 209.89$ 

 $SS_{Environment} = 4*3*[(2.414167-4.294722)^2 + (5.392500-4.294722)^2 + (5.077500-4.294722)^2] = 64.25$ 

 $SS_{Frequncy X Environment} = SS_{Total.} - SS_{Frequncy} - SS_{Environment} - SS_{Residuals} = 101.97$ 

D) (20 pts.) Create a complete ANOVA table representing the sources of variations, the sum of squares, dfs, mean sum of squares and the corresponding F-values. (estimate your P-values)

| > summary(Q1aov)        | Df | Sum Sq   | Mean Sq F value |        | <b>Pr(&gt;F)</b> |
|-------------------------|----|----------|-----------------|--------|------------------|
| Frequency               | 2  | 209. 89  | 104.95          | 522.4  | < 2e-16 ***      |
| Envi ronment            | 2  | 64. 25   | 32.13           | 159. 9 | 1. 08e-15 ***    |
| Frequency: Envi ronment | 4  | 101. 97  | 25.49           | 126. 9 | < 2e-16 ***      |
| Resi dual s             | 27 | 5.42     | 0. 20           |        |                  |
| Total                   | 35 | 381. 535 | 10. 901         |        |                  |