Physics 1C Final Exam

TOTAL POINTS

97 / 100

QUESTION 1 Problem 120 pts

1.1 (a) **7 / 7**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- **2 pts** missing/incorrect definition of k
- **1 pts** define both left&right polarization
- **2 pts** incorrect form of electric field equation
- **1 pts** error with unit vectors/signs
- **1 pts** incorrect amplitude based off initial conditions (should be E0)

1.2 (b) **7 / 7**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- **0 pts** Correct, based on incorrect (a)
- **2 pts** incorrect use of trig identities
- **3 pts** no dependence on index of refraction
- **2 pts** missing/incorrect conclusion

1.3 (c) **6 / 6**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- **0 pts** correct, based off incorrect part A/B
- **3 pts** incorrect setup
- **1 pts** small error
- **2 pts** incorrect final answer

QUESTION 2

Problem 2 20 pts

2.1 (a) **7 / 7**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 5 pts Using Galilean results to solve a relativistic problem.

- **2 pts** Minor error.
- **5 pts** Major error.
- **7 pts** No submission.

2.2 (b) **7 / 7**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 5 pts Using Galilean results to solve a relativistic problem.

- **2 pts** Minor error.
- **4 pts** Did not solve for the velocity.
- **5 pts** Major error.
- **7 pts** No submission

2.3 (c) **6 / 6**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 3 pts Major error.

QUESTION 3

Problem 3 20 pts

3.1 (a) **10 / 10**

- **✓ 0 pts Correct**
	- **3 pts** Error computing photon energy.
	- **5 pts** Incorrect reasoning or conclusion.
	- **10 pts** No submission.
	- **3 pts** Minor error.

3.2 (b) **10 / 10**

- **✓ 0 pts Correct**
	- **3 pts** Error computing the work function.
	- **5 pts** Incorrect reasoning or conclusion.

QUESTION 4

4 Problem 4 **20 / 20**

- **✓ 0 pts Correct**
	- **5 pts** path difference is integer number of

wavelengths

- 5 pts path difference between 1&2 is equal to path difference between 2&3

QUESTION 5

Problem 5 20 pts

5.1 (a) **4 / 7**

- **0 pts** Correct
- **5 pts** No loss from inductor and/or capacitor
- **5 pts** This doesn't describe energy loss

✓ - 3 pts You have only described i2R loss

5.2 (b) **7 / 7**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

 - 5 pts Incorrect reasoning

5.3 (c) **6 / 6**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

 - 5 pts Inductor in dc circuit is just wire. You haven't really explained why the transformer doesn't work.

 - 3 pts Magnetic flux is still produced.

Problem 1

We have seen in class that the index of refraction of a material can depend on the wavelength of light, a phenomenon known as dispersion. The index of refraction can also depend on the polarization of the light. In this problem we consider a material which has different indices of refraction for right- and leftcircularly polarized light, n_{\pm} , where the plus and minus sign refer to right- and left-circularly polarized light, respectively.

$(a): 7$ pts

Write down expressions for the electric fields of right- and left-circularly polarized plane waves travelling in the + \hat{z} -direction in this material. You may assume that the two waves have the same angular frequency ω , and the same amplitude, and that the electric field for both waves at $t = 0$ and $z = 0$ is equal to $E_0\hat{x}$. [Hint: remember how the index of refraction affects an EM wave. See Lecture 15 for discussion of polarization.

Given equation is
$$
\tilde{E}(2,t)
$$
, when $z=0$ at $t=0$, $\Rightarrow \tilde{E}(0,0) = E_0 \hat{X}$
Furthermore, given that the two waves have the same ω , to relate the
index of reformation, we see that given $K = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ and $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda}$, $K = \frac{2\pi n}{\lambda_0}$

\n
$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n \text{thus, right circularly polarized light is given by} \\
E(2, t) > E_0 \left[\cos \left(\frac{2\pi n_+}{\lambda_0} z - \omega t \right) \hat{x} + \sin \left(\frac{2\pi n_+}{\lambda_0} z - \omega t \right) \hat{y} \right]\n \text{and} \\
\text{and} \\
\text{for } t > 0\n \end{array}
$$
\n

\n\n $\begin{array}{rcl}\n \text{for } t > 0 \\
\text{for } t > 0\n \end{array}$ \n

\n\n $\begin{array}{rcl}\n \text{for } t > 0 \\
\text{for } t > 0\n \end{array}$ \n

1.1 (a) **7 / 7**

- **2 pts** missing/incorrect definition of k
- **1 pts** define both left&right polarization
- **2 pts** incorrect form of electric field equation
- **1 pts** error with unit vectors/signs
- **1 pts** incorrect amplitude based off initial conditions (should be E0)

(b) : 7 pts

Linearly-polarized light can be written as the sum of right- and left-circularly polarized light. Add the right- and left-circularly polarized waves you found in part (a), and check that the resulting wave is linearly polarized. [Hint: how does the electric field behave at a fixed location along the z-axis?] You may find the following trig identities useful:

$$
\cos(x) + \cos(y) = 2\cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)
$$

$$
\sin(x) \pm \sin(y) = 2\sin\left(\frac{x\pm y}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{x\mp y}{2}\right)
$$

$$
\vec{E}(z_1 \forall y) = E_0 \left[\cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda_0}z - \omega + y\right)\hat{x} + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda_0}z - \omega + y\right)\hat{x} + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda_0}z - \omega + y\right)\hat{y} - \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda_0}z - \omega + y\right)\hat{y}\right]
$$

$$
= E_0 \left[2 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_0} \left(h + {}^{+}h_{-}\right)z - 2 \omega t\right) \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_0} \left(h - {}^{+}h_{-}\right)z\right) \hat{x} + 2 \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_0} \left(h - {}^{+}h_{-}\right)z\right) \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda_0} \left(h + {}^{+}h_{-}\right)z - 2 \omega t\right) \hat{y}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\frac{1}{E(e_{,t})} = 2E_{o} \cos(\frac{\pi}{h_{o}}(n_{+}+n_{-})e-w) \left[\frac{\cos(\frac{\pi(n_{+}-n_{-})}{\lambda_{o}})e+\sin(\frac{\pi(n_{+}-n_{-})}{\lambda_{o}})}{\lambda_{o}}\right]
$$
\nIf we were to fix some value of $z = z_{o}$ we would find

\nthat the electric field does not include the expression above in the x on y

\ndivectors since the inner terms of the expressions above

\nUnwhich in *vol and* given do not increase in time and

\nupoud be constant if z was fixed, leading to a

\nresult, *var* that is linearly polarized. In this case, these

\ninne, *env* and *env* are determined.

\nin the direction in which the linearly polarized, *var* points

1.2 (b) **7 / 7**

- **0 pts** Correct, based on incorrect (a)
- **2 pts** incorrect use of trig identities
- **3 pts** no dependence on index of refraction
- **2 pts** missing/incorrect conclusion

$(c): 6$ pts

The direction of linear polarization rotates as the wave propagates through the material. What is the shortest length of material that could be used to rotate the polarization by 90° ?

To robuste the polarization of the linearly polarized wave by 90°
\n
$$
\Rightarrow E(z_1k) = 2E_0 \cos(\frac{\pi}{h_0}(h_1 + h_1)z - \omega t) \cos(\frac{\pi(n+1)}{h_0}z)z + \sin(\frac{\pi(n+1)}{h_0}z)z)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow H^{\text{use}} \text{ values} \text{ would have } h \text{ be } \frac{\pi}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\pi}{h_0} \left(\frac{h_1 + h_1}{h_0} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\pi}{h_0} \left(\frac{h_0}{h_0} - \frac{h_0}{h_0} \right)
$$

1.3 (c) **6 / 6**

- **0 pts** correct, based off incorrect part A/B
- **3 pts** incorrect setup
- **1 pts** small error
- **2 pts** incorrect final answer

Problem 2

You place a particle of charge q and mass m at rest at the origin. At time $t' = 0$, you turn on a uniform electric field $\vec{E} = E\hat{z}$, which you turn off at time $t' = t$. (For this problem, ignore gravity; imagine you are out in space far from any large objects).

$(a): 7$ pts

What is the momentum of the particle at time t ? Take relativistic effects into account, i.e. imagine that the field is on long enough to accelerate the particle to speeds comparable to the speed of light.

2.1 (a) **7 / 7**

- **5 pts** Using Galilean results to solve a relativistic problem.
- **2 pts** Minor error.
- **5 pts** Major error.
- **7 pts** No submission.

(b) : 7 pts

What is the velocity of the particle at time t ?

Use f d Q
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{p} = qEt \hat{z}
$$
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{p} = m \hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}Et = m \hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}Et = m \hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \text{ m }v
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}) qEt = m \hat{v}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}) q^2 E^2 t^2 = m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2 v^2}{c^2} = m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2 v^2}{c^2} = m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2 v^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2 E^2 t^2 - \frac{q^2 E^2 t^2}{c^2} + m^2 v^2
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \hat{l}^2
$$

2.2 (b) **7 / 7**

- **5 pts** Using Galilean results to solve a relativistic problem.
- **2 pts** Minor error.
- **4 pts** Did not solve for the velocity.
- **5 pts** Major error.
- **7 pts** No submission

$(c): 6$ pts

In the particle's rest frame, it is unstable and has a lifetime of τ . What is the apparent lifetime of the particle from the perspective of an observer who observes the particle moving uniformly with the above velocity?

apparent time

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta t} = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
$$

$$
\Delta t = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{mc}{\psi Eb})^{2}}}}
$$

2.3 (c) **6 / 6**

✓ - 0 pts Correct

 - 3 pts Major error.

Problem 3

In the photoelectric effect, light striking the surface of a conductor ejects electrons from the conductor. If we shine light on the anode of a capacitor which has some voltage applied across it, the ejected electrons will be accelerated towards the cathode, and we establish a **photocurrent**. Using this principle, you would like to build a laser tripwire.

You put a lightbulb (with resistance 10 Ω), capacitor, and DC voltage source $\mathcal{E} = 9$ V in series, and point a ruby laser of wavelength 694 nm (red light) and intensity $I = 1$ W/m² at the anode of the capacitor. The and the hast work function $\phi = 1.3$ eV. Your idea is that as long as the laser is actively shining on the and de, the light bulb will light; if an object obstructs the laser, the light bulb will turn off, and you will notice the light flicker.

(a) : 10 pts

With the given parameters, with the laser shining on the anode, will current flow in your circuit? Justify your answer. \mathbf{I} \overline{M} \overline{M}

3.1 (a) **10 / 10**

- **3 pts** Error computing photon energy.
- **5 pts** Incorrect reasoning or conclusion.
- **10 pts** No submission.
- **3 pts** Minor error.

(b) : 10 pts

One potential problem with your tripwire is that the laser is in the visible spectrum, and a potential burgler might see and avoid it. Will current still flow if you replace the ruby laser with an argon laser, with wavelength 1090 nm (infrared), and double the intensity to $2 W/m$? Why or why not?

Given that the
$$
bc^0
$$
 ($bc(bs)^2$ of the photodetore of the $cptu^2$ is $bcdt$ by $bcdt$ in the $bcdt$ is $bcdt$ and $bcdt$ is $bcdt$ and $bcdt$ is $bcdt$ and $bcdt$ is $bcdt$.

\nUse the $bcdt$ is $bcdt$ is $bcdt$ and $bcdt$ is $bcdt$ and

Thus, since $E < \phi$, 1.138 ev \lt 1.3 ev, current will $\underbrace{N \text{ of}}$ flow in the circuit

3.2 (b) **10 / 10**

- **3 pts** Error computing the work function.
- **5 pts** Incorrect reasoning or conclusion.

Problem 4

20 points

Consider the following image depicting three thin slits separated by some distance d :

Show that if spot P on the screen is a spot of constructive interference for light of wavelength λ coming through slits 1 and 2, then P is also a spot of constructive interference for light of wavelength λ coming through slits 2 and 3. You may assume that the screen is sufficiently distant that the light rays arriving at P from each slit emerge from the slits parallel to each other. The lens is only there to account for the fact that this page doesn't have infinite horizontal extent.

Physics 1C: Final

You must show your work to receive credit. An answer written down with no work will receive no credit.

4 Problem 4 **20 / 20**

- **5 pts** path difference is integer number of wavelengths
- **5 pts** path difference between 1&2 is equal to path difference between 2&3

Problem 5

Short answer

(a) : 7 pts

Both DC and AC circuits dissipate energy through resistors, known as " i^2R loss". AC circuits have an additional mode of energy loss, due to the oscillations of the charge carriers in the circuit. Describe why energy is lost in an AC circuit this way.

Energy is lost in an AC cavity. This way since the voltage
\noscillates and thus the current oscillates. This oscillating converges
\nSinusoidally (ausry the power to be leivand and
\nexrault. 6004, and the problem of the algebraic system is then
\npower = iv = Log(u)+. vcos(u)+6). The average power is then
\npower = iv = Log(u)+. vcos(u)+6). The average power is then
\n
$$
\rho_{av} = \frac{1}{2}U cos \phi \Rightarrow \text{Ims Vrms} cos \phi
$$
. This phase angle form cos \phi
\n $\rho_{av} = \frac{1}{2}U cos \phi \Rightarrow \text{Ims Vrms} cos \phi$. This phase angle form cos \phi
\nnot maximum of the last sphere has i^2P , but if
\nnot maximum of the solution to subtiform length, a long time, in only debennind
\nThis result in long, current is noted in the second. Energy, is also lost
\nby $\rho = U \cdot \rho$ the energy of is minimized already. Energy is also lost
\nfunction, the fact that the change of the through the order.
\n

5.1 (a) **4 / 7**

- **0 pts** Correct
- **5 pts** No loss from inductor and/or capacitor
- **5 pts** This doesn't describe energy loss
- **✓ 3 pts You have only described i2R loss**

(b) : 7 pts

A fiber optic cable operates under the principle of total internal reflection. Suppose you've got a fiber optic cable with index of refraction $n_c = 1.2$ used to transmit a beam of light between two elements, that you use in your lab space filled with air (index of refraction $n_a = 1$). If you were to take your device and place it in water (index of refraction $n_w = 1.33$) would the fiber optic cable still work? Why or why not?

Total internal refitterm occurs when
$$
n_a > n_b
$$
 there have
\nIn the n_a of n_b is the
\nrule of refraction of the *f* is the *g* is the
\nrule of refraction of the *f* is the *g* is the *h* is the
\nrule. In the *f* is the *g* is the *h* is the *h* is the
\n 0 of the a,v . Thus, 0 of the n_b is a 0 of *h* is the
\n 0 of the a,v . Thus, 0 of the n_b is a 0 of *h* is the
\n $n_c > n_a$, $(1.2 > 1)$. However, in the *Second* 0 is n_c
\n $n_c > n_a$, $(1.2 > 1)$. However, in the *Second* 0 is n_b
\nand *h* is $n_c < n_b$ since (122133) , *h* is TL_0 cannot occur. This
\nis *f* is *h* is n_b
\n $\frac{n_a}{n_b}$ is 0 or 1
\n $\frac{n_b}{n_b}$
\n $\frac{n_b}{n_b} = 1$
\n $\frac{n_b}{n_b}$

5.2 (b) $7/7$

\checkmark - 0 pts Correct

- 5 pts Incorrect reasoning

(c) : 6 pts

A transformer is a device used to step up or step down the voltage in a circuit, and operates on the principle of mutual induction. We discussed transformers in AC circuits. Would a transformer built in the same way also work in a DC circuit to step up or step down the voltage?

A fransforme built with a DC circuit world not work the same way as with an AC circuit. This is because with Ac circuitr, the transfame takes advantage of the fact that changing voltage
produces changing magnetic field and changing magnetic flux. Changing magnetix flux creates an induced current in the second coil. This induced current can be increased or decreased (step up or down) in an Ac transforme by increasing or decreasing the amount of
turns in the secondary coil. In a DC transformer, since the vallage not changing, there is no changing magnetic field/flux and is no induced current in the secondary coil. Thus, since
DC transformer would be built in the same way as $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ Thre the AC transformer, increasing or decreasing the number of turns the secondary coil would have no effect since there 1ve jn no induced current fo begin with and thus a fransfarmer like an AC transforme but in a DC circuit wold not ζj b vilt Wester to step up a dem the voltage

5.3 (c) **6 / 6**

- **5 pts** Inductor in dc circuit is just wire. You haven't really explained why the transformer doesn't work.
- **3 pts** Magnetic flux is still produced.