

**Problem 1.** Prove by induction that

$$1! \cdot 1 + 2! \cdot 2 + \dots + n! \cdot n = (n + 1)! - 1$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The base case is  $n = 1$ ; the left-hand side is  $1! \cdot 1 = 1$  and the right-hand side is  $2! - 1 = 1$ .

Assume for induction that it has been established for some arbitrary  $k$  that

$$1! \cdot 1 + 2! \cdot 2 + \dots + k! \cdot k = (k + 1)! - 1.$$

Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 1! \cdot 1 + 2! \cdot 2 + \dots + k! \cdot k + (k + 1)! \cdot (k + 1) &= (k + 1)! - 1 + (k + 1)! \cdot (k + 1) \\ &= (k + 1)!(1 + (k + 1)) - 1 \\ &= (k + 2)! - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction step, and the proof. □

**Problem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all finite strings over  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $l : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$  be the function defined so that  $l(s)$  is the length of  $s$ , for all strings  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  (where  $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$  denotes the set of non-negative integers).

1. Is  $l$  injective?
2. Is  $l$  surjective?

Justify your answers.

*Proof.* The function  $l$  is not injective since, for example, 0 and 1 are both length 1 strings which are distinct. The function  $l$  is surjective since, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ , the string  $0 \dots 0$  of length  $n$  consisting of all 0s, for example, has length  $n$ , so  $l(0 \dots 0) = n$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Suppose  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $R$  and  $S$  are relations on the non-empty  $X$ .

1. Show that if  $R$  and  $S$  are symmetric and reflexive, then  $(R - S)$  is a symmetric and irreflexive relation on  $X$ .
2. Show that if  $R$  and  $S$  are equivalence relations, then  $R - S$  is not an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* (1). First we show  $R - S$  is symmetric. If  $(x, y) \in (R - S)$ , then  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(x, y) \notin S$ . As  $R$  and  $S$  are symmetric, it follows that  $(y, x) \in R$  and  $(y, x) \notin S$ . This shows  $(y, x) \in (R - S)$ , and therefore  $R - S$  is symmetric. Next we show  $R - S$  is irreflexive. Actually this only requires that  $S$  be reflexive. Since for all  $x \in X$ ,  $(x, x) \in S$ , we know  $(x, x) \notin R - S$  which means  $R - S$  is irreflexive.

(2). Follows from (1) since  $R - S$  is irreflexive (and therefore not reflexive, since  $X$  is non-empty).  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  are functions. Define a function  $h : X \rightarrow Y \times Z$  by

$$h(x) = (f(x), g(x))$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

1. Show that if  $f$  and  $g$  are injective, then  $h$  is injective.
2. Show, by example, that even if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  are surjective,  $h$  is not necessarily surjective.

*Proof.* (1). Suppose  $x \neq x'$ . Then  $f(x) \neq f(x')$  and therefore  $(f(x), g(x)) \neq (f(x'), g(x'))$  which shows  $h(x) \neq h(x')$ . Therefore  $h$  is injective.

(2). Let  $X = Y = Z = \mathbb{R}$  and take  $f(x) = x$  and  $g(x) = x$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are clearly surjective with  $h(x) = (x, x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $(1, 2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is not in the range, so  $h$  is not surjective.  $\square$