

# 22W-MATH-61-LEC-1 / 22W-MATH-61-LEC-2 Exam 1--Written Portion

KEATON HEISTERMAN

TOTAL POINTS

**66 / 66**

QUESTION 1

1 Problem 1 0 / 0

✓ - 0 pts Correct

QUESTION 2

Problem 2 20 pts

2.1 2(a) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 5 pts Miscalculated floor function in counterexample.

- 5 pts Used non-integer inputs for  $f(x)$ .

- 8 pts Attempted to prove that  $f(x)$  is one-to-one by performing invalid operations on the floor function.

- 10 pts Blank

2.2 2(b) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 0 pts See comments.

- 1 pts See comments

- 2 pts See comments

- 3 pts See comments

- 4 pts See comments

- 5 pts See comments

QUESTION 3

Problem 3 20 pts

3.1 3(a) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 0.5 pts Correct combinatorics; arithmetic error

- 1.5 pts Picked the pairs of cats in an order, and didn't correct for overcounting

- 1.5 pts Used  $C(4,2)$  to pick a single kitten

- 1.5 pts Within each pair, picked the kittens in order

- 10 pts Completely incorrect / nothing written

3.2 3(b) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct justification of the correct answer.

- 1 pts Correct setup, but incorrect answer due to arithmetic error.

- 2 pts Incorrect setup, but only failed to distinguish the two orange kittens.

- 4 pts Incorrect approach or justification, but shows understanding of basic counting principles.

- 6 pts Only (correctly) justified the  $5! \cdot 3!$  possible naive arrangements and/or the  $3! \cdot 3!$  possible arrangements of the non-orange kittens.

- 8 pts Had some correct explanation, but did not show understanding of basic counting principles.

- 10 pts Failed to correctly justify any important part of the problem.

QUESTION 4

4 Problem 4 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Neglected to say that  $x \neq y$

- 4 pts Counterexample is incorrect or missing

- 2 pts In the counterexample,  $R$  is not symmetric

- 1 pts In the counterexample,  $R \cap S$  is written incorrectly

- 6 pts The proof that  $R \cap S$  is antisymmetric is missing

- 5 pts The proof of the first part is incorrect but the definition of antisymmetric relations is stated

- 1 pts Small inconsistency in explanations

- 2 pts A specific counter example is not provided,

but it is explained how to obtain it

- **1 pts** In the proof of the first part, we start with  $\{(x,y) \in R\}$  instead of  $\{(x,y) \in R \cap S\}$
- **5 pts** The proof of the first part relies on  $R$  being symmetric
- **1 pts** The conclusion  $x=y$  for  $(x,y),(y,x) \in R \cap S$  is missing
- **10 pts** Incorrect

#### QUESTION 5

### 5 Problem 5 6 / 6

- ✓ - **0 pts** Correct
- **2 pts** Example is not reflexive
- **2 pts** Example is not transitive
- **2 pts** Example is not symmetric
- **1 pts** Minor error(s) or step missing (see comments)
- **1 pts** Did not state how many equivalence classes there are
- **2 pts** Equivalence relation is not containing (1,3) or (2,3)
- **1 pts** Did not say what the partition is, but did write the equivalence classes correctly somewhere in the work
- **2 pts** Incorrect or missing partition, and the correct equivalence classes are not written anywhere
- **0.5 pts** Notational error (see comments)
- **2 pts** Only gave the equivalence classes, but did not give the equivalence relation

#### QUESTION 6

### 6 Problem 6 10 / 10

- ✓ - **0 pts** Correct
- **1 pts** Missing minor details/small mistakes
- **2 pts** Minor issues with solution
- **3 pts** Issues with solution
- **5 pts** Major issues with solution
- **7 pts** Some good ideas, but not a solution
- **8 pts** Some understanding of key concepts, but not a solution

# Exam 1

name Keaton Heisterman

Student ID #: 905 537 242

Section number Discussion 1B

1. I, Keaton Heisterman, have read and have not used any non-permitted materials or received any help on this exam.

1 Problem 1 0 / 0

✓ - 0 pts Correct

### Question 2 a)

The claim that  $f$  is one-to-one is false.

Proof:

A function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is one-to-one if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  then  $x_1 = x_2$ . Thus to prove  $f$  is not one-to-one, we must show for some  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  but  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

Observe: if  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 2$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now, see that:

$$f(x_1) = f(1) = \left\lfloor \frac{1+1}{7} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2}{7} \right\rfloor = 0, \text{ and,}$$

$$f(x_2) = f(2) = \left\lfloor \frac{2+1}{7} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{3}{7} \right\rfloor = 0$$

Thus we see that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , but,  $x_1 \neq x_2$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

Therefore we have proved that  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is not one-to-one because for some  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  but  $x_1 \neq x_2$   $\square$

2.1 2(a) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 5 pts Miscalculated floor function in counterexample.
- 5 pts Used non-integer inputs for  $f(x)$ .
- 8 pts Attempted to prove that  $f(x)$  is one-to-one by performing invalid operations on the floor function.
- 10 pts Blank

### Question 2 b)

The claim that  $f$  is onto is true.

Proof: A function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  is onto if for every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . We must show that this holds for our function  $f$ .

Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that  $7y \in \mathbb{Z}$  as well. Now observe that

$$f(7y) = \left\lfloor \frac{7y+1}{7} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{7y}{7} + \frac{1}{7} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor y + \frac{1}{7} \right\rfloor = y.$$

thus for every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , namely  $x = 7y$ , such that  $f(x) = y$ .

therefore  $f$  is onto  $\square$

2.2 2(b) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 0 pts See comments.

- 1 pts See comments

- 2 pts See comments

- 3 pts See comments

- 4 pts See comments

- 5 pts See comments



$$3P3 = 6 \quad 2P2 = 2 \\ 3C2 = 3 \quad 3C1 = 3$$

### Question 3 a)

Break into steps

- 1: choose two fur colors to select two kittens from. can't select calico because only one kitten, so only  $C(3,2)$  choices.
- 2: for the color with one kitten must break into cases because calico only has one kitten and other color choice has 4 kittens to choose from  
case 1: calico kitten, no choices  
case 2: remaining color with 4 kittens, 4 choices
- 3: choose kittens for two kitten colors. Each color has 4 kittens to choose from, so  $C(4,2)$  choices

$$\text{with calico: } C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(1,1)$$

$$\text{without calico: } C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,1)$$

$$\text{therefore in total: } C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2) + 4 \cdot C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2)$$

which is equal to 540 total ways to choose 5 kittens

b) Break into cases

1. 1 cat in middle ( $C(3,1)$  of the two orange. Then choose how to place 2 other cats out of the 3 choices (two on either side or one on each side)  $C(3,2)$ . Then choose how to order remaining cats  $P(2,2)$ . Finally how to order orange cats  $P(2,2)$

$$\text{total: } C(3,1) \cdot C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot P(2,2)$$

2. 2 cats in middle ( $C(3,2)$  ways to choose them then  $P(2,2)$  to order them). Then choose which side of orange cat the other cat goes  $C(2,1)$ . Finally order orange cats  $P(2,2)$

$$\text{total: } C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot C(2,1) \cdot P(2,2)$$

3. All 3 cats between orange cats.  $P(3,3)$  ways. Then order orange cats  $P(2,2)$  ways

$$\text{total: } P(3,3) \cdot P(2,2)$$

$$\text{therefore in total we have } C(3,1) \cdot C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot P(2,2) + C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot C(2,1) \cdot P(2,2) \\ \text{which is } 72 \text{ ways to line up in total} + P(3,3) \cdot P(2,2)$$

3.13(a) 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 0.5 pts Correct combinatorics; arithmetic error
- 1.5 pts Picked the pairs of cats in an order, and didn't correct for overcounting
- 1.5 pts Used  $C(4,2)$  to pick a single kitten
- 1.5 pts Within each pair, picked the kittens in order
- 10 pts Completely incorrect / nothing written

$$3P3 = 6 \quad 2P2 = 2 \\ 3C2 = 3 \quad 3C1 = 3$$

### Question 3 a)

Break into steps

- 1: choose two fur colors to select two kittens from. can't select calico because only one kitten, so only  $C(3,2)$  choices.
- 2: for the color with one kitten must break into cases because calico only has one kitten and other color choice has 4 kittens to choose from  
case 1: calico kitten. no choices  
case 2: remaining color with 4 kittens. 4 choices
- 3: choose kittens for two kitten colors. Each color has 4 kittens to choose from, so  $C(4,2)$  choices

with calico:  $C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(1,1)$

without calico:  $C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,1)$

therefore in total:  $C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2) + 4 \cdot C(3,2) \cdot C(4,2) \cdot C(4,2)$

which is equal to 540 total ways to choose 5 kittens

b) Break into cases

1. 1 cat in middle ( $C(3,1)$  of the two orange. Then choose how to place 2 other cats out of the 3 choices (two on either side or one on each side)  $C(3,2)$ . Then choose how to order remaining cats  $P(2,2)$ . Finally how to order orange cats  $P(2,2)$

total:  $C(3,1) \cdot C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot P(2,2)$

2. 2 cats in middle ( $C(3,2)$  ways to choose them then  $P(2,2)$  to order them). Then choose which side of orange cat the other cat goes  $C(2,1)$ . Finally order orange cats  $P(2,2)$

total:  $C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot C(2,1) \cdot P(2,2)$

3. All 3 cats between orange cats.  $P(3,3)$  ways. Then order orange cats  $P(2,2)$  ways

total:  $P(3,3) \cdot P(2,2)$

therefore in total we have  $C(3,1) \cdot C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot P(2,2) + C(3,2) \cdot P(2,2) \cdot C(2,1) \cdot P(2,2)$

which is 72 ways to line up in total

+  $P(3,3) \cdot P(2,2)$

3.2 3(b) 10 / 10

✓ - **0 pts** Correct justification of the correct answer.

- **1 pts** Correct setup, but incorrect answer due to arithmetic error.

- **2 pts** Incorrect setup, but only failed to distinguish the two orange kittens.

- **4 pts** Incorrect approach or justification, but shows understanding of basic counting principles.

- **6 pts** Only (correctly) justified the  $5! \cdot 3!$  possible naive arrangements and/or the  $3! \cdot 3!$  possible arrangements of the non-orange kittens.

- **8 pts** Had some correct explanation, but did not show understanding of basic counting principles.

- **10 pts** Failed to correctly justify any important part of the problem.

#### Question 4

If  $R$  is antisymmetric, then  $R \cap S$  is antisymmetric.

Proof: Since  $R$  is antisymmetric, if  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, x) \in R$ , then  $x = y$ .

We must show that if  $(x, y), (y, x) \in R \cap S$ , it means  $x = y$ .

Observe if  $(x, y), (y, x) \in R \cap S$ , this implies that

$(x, y), (y, x) \in R$ . Since  $R$  is antisymmetric,  $x = y$ .

Therefore for all  $(x, y), (y, x) \in R \cap S$ ,  $x = y$ .

Thus  $R \cap S$  is antisymmetric as this is the definition of antisymmetric  $\square$

Prove "if  $R$  is symmetric, then  $R \cap S$  is symmetric" is false.

Proof: show for some symmetric  $R$  that  $R \cap S$  is not symmetric.

Let  $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ .  $R$  is symmetric. Let  $S = \{(1, 2)\}$ .

observe that  $R \cap S = \{(1, 2)\}$ , which is not symmetric.

Therefore if  $R$  is symmetric, it does not mean  $R \cap S$  is symmetric  $\square$

#### 4 Problem 4 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Neglected to say that  $x \neq y$
- 4 pts Counterexample is incorrect or missing
- 2 pts In the counterexample,  $R$  is not symmetric
- 1 pts In the counterexample,  $R \cap S$  is written incorrectly
- 6 pts The proof that  $R \cap S$  is antisymmetric is missing
- 5 pts The proof of the first part is incorrect but the definition of antisymmetric relations is stated
- 1 pts Small inconsistency in explanations
- 2 pts A specific counter example is not provided, but it is explained how to obtain it
- 1 pts In the proof of the first part, we start with  $(x,y) \in R$  instead of  $(x,y) \in R \cap S$
- 5 pts The proof of the first part relies on  $R$  being symmetric
- 1 pts The conclusion  $x=y$  for  $(x,y),(y,x) \in R \cap S$  is missing
- 10 pts Incorrect

Question 5

$$R = \{ (1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (1,3), (3,1), (2,3), (3,2), (1,2), (2,1) \}$$

Partition of X

$$[1] = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad [2] = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad [3] = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad [4] = \{4\} \quad [5] = \{5\}$$

Thus S is a partition of X given by  $S = \{ \{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}, \{5\} \}$

number of equivalence classes

there are 3 equivalence classes, namely  $[1] = [2] = [3] = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $[4] = \{4\}$  and  $[5] = \{5\}$ , for the relation R  $\rightarrow$  I provided.

## 5 Problem 5 6 / 6

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Example is not reflexive
- 2 pts Example is not transitive
- 2 pts Example is not symmetric
- 1 pts Minor error(s) or step missing (see comments)
- 1 pts Did not state how many equivalence classes there are
- 2 pts Equivalence relation is not containing (1,3) or (2,3)
- 1 pts Did not say what the partition is, but did write the equivalence classes correctly somewhere in the work
- 2 pts Incorrect or missing partition, and the correct equivalence classes are not written anywhere
- 0.5 pts Notational error (see comments)
- 2 pts Only gave the equivalence classes, but did not give the equivalence relation



Question 6 Prove  $C(2n, n) < 2^{2n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Proof

Basis step ( $n=1$ ):

observe that  $C(2, 1) = \frac{2!}{1!(2-1)!} = 2! = (2)(1) = 2$

and that  $2^{2(1)} = 2^2 = 4$

thus  $C(2n, n) < 2^{2n}$  for  $n=1$

Inductive step

Assume for some  $n \geq 1$  that  $C(2n, n) < 2^{2n}$

must show that  $C(2(n+1), n+1) < 2^{2(n+1)}$

LHS:

$$\begin{aligned} C(2(n+1), n+1) &= \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!(n+1)!} \\ &= \frac{(2n+2)(2n+1)(2n)!}{(n+1)^2 n! n!} \\ &= \frac{2(n+1)(2n+1) C(2n, n)}{(n+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$< \frac{2(2n+1)}{(n+1)} (2^{2n}) \rightarrow \text{by inductive assumption.}$$

$$= \frac{(2n+1)}{(n+1)} (2^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \text{note that } \frac{2n+1}{n+1} < 2 \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

See proof of this on next page (helper proof).

$$< 2(2^{2n+1})$$

$$= 2^{2(n+1)}$$

Therefore  $C(2(n+1), n+1) < 2^{2(n+1)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$

Thus by the principle of mathematic induction,

$$C(2n, n) < 2^{2n} \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \quad \square$$

Helper proof: prove  $\frac{2n+1}{n+1} < 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$

basis step ( $n=1$ ) see that  $\frac{2(1+1)}{1+1} = \frac{3}{2} < 2$ . Thus  $\frac{2n+1}{n+1} < 2$  for  $n=1$

Inductive step

Assume  $\frac{2n+1}{n+1} < 2$  for some  $n \geq 1$

Show  $\frac{2(n+1)+1}{(n+1)+1} < 2$ . This can be rewritten as  $2n+3 < 2(n+2)$  expanding we get

$2n+3 < 2n+4$  which is clearly true if  $n \geq 1$ .

thus by the principle of mathematic induction,  $\frac{2n+1}{n+1} < 2$   
for all  $n \geq 1$ .

## 6 Problem 6 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Missing minor details/small mistakes
- 2 pts Minor issues with solution
- 3 pts Issues with solution
- 5 pts Major issues with solution
- 7 pts Some good ideas, but not a solution
- 8 pts Some understanding of key concepts, but not a solution