21W-MATH33B-2 Final Exam

JOE PINTO, SR

TOTAL POINTS

96 / 100

QUESTION 1

Q1 8 pts

1.1 **1**a 2/2

 \checkmark - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect

1.2 **1**b 2/2

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect

1.3 **1**C **0** / **2**

- 0 pts Correct

✓ - 2 pts Incorrect

1.4 **1**d 2 / 2

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect

QUESTION 2

2 Q2 5/8

- 0 pts Correct
- 1 pts Sign error / doesn't satisfy the initial condition
- 1 pts Misc. error
- 2 pts Didn't write y explicitly
- 2 pts Computational error

- **3 pts** Didn't use the initial condition (or applied it in a way that doesn't make sense)

\checkmark - 3 pts Error in part 1 that throws off the rest

- 4 pts Subsequent parts unfinished
- 4 pts No constant in part 2
- 8 pts Incorrect or blank
- y is also a function of x, so (xy)' = xy' + y

3 Q3 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Did not rule out possibility that top entry of

an eigenvector is \$\$0\$\$

- 1 pts Minor errors
- 3 pts Moderate errors
- 5 pts Did not consider eigenvectors at all

- **5 pts** Believed eigenvectors could be chosen at will

- 6 pts Did not consider \$\$p_1\$\$ at all, and thus did not consider top row at all

- **5 pts** Believed \$\$p_1\$\$ solution had eigenvectors, and thus never considered top row

- 6 pts Showed \$\$p_1=p_M\$\$, and made no further claims

- 1 pts Correctly found eigenvectors, but did not mention reduction to top row only

5 pts Claimed one eigenvector was
\$\$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\$\$, nothing mentioned about the other

- **3 pts** Correctly found eigenvectors, but claimed that combining vectors and scalars could reduce to the other solution

 4 pts Did not find eigenvectors, and claimed that they could be combined with scalars to arrive at \$\$p_1\$\$ solution

- **3 pts** Eigenvectors would not work if 0 is an eigenvalue, also did not properly indicate top row and absorption of constants

- **4 pts** Began work on eigenvectors but could not finish

- **6 pts** Believed both versions led to eigenvectors both being \$\$\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\$\$

- 8 pts Complete misunderstanding of matrix version, or did not attempt matrix version at all

QUESTION 3

QUESTION 4

Q4 10 pts

4.14a 5/5

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Incorrect definition of matrix exponential.

4.2 4b 5/5

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Incorrect value of \$\$\alpha\$\$.

- **0.5 pts** Using \$\$\alpha A\$\$ instead of \$\$A\$\$ in the formula.

- **0.5 pts** Matrix computation errors.

QUESTION 5

Q5 46 pts

5.1 5a 3/6

- 0 pts Correct
- 1 pts Minor errors with mass
- 2 pts Errors with signs
- 2 pts Had damping terms for other masses
- 4 pts No attempt at damping terms
- \checkmark **3 pts** Had damping attached to position instead of velocity
 - 4 pts Had \$\$c\$\$ present on all terms?
- **4 pts** Had damping listed as a constant, tried to write as inhomogeneous?
 - 5 pts Serious mistakes
 - 2 pts One damping term incorrect
- **2 pts** Attached \$\$x_1,x_2\$\$ to \$\$c\$\$ in the matrix (but in the correct places)?
 - 2 pts Missing one \$\$\frac{k_2}{m_i}\$\$ term
 - 2 pts Simple mistake with \$\$x'\$\$ vs \$\$y'\$\$
 - 3 pts No mass terms
 - 2 pts Included \$\$x,x',y,y'\$\$ terms in the matrix
 - 2 pts Errors with \$\$k_i\$\$'s

5.2 5b 4/4

- ✓ 0 pts Correct
 - 1 pts Believed in a fixed sign for \$\$\beta\$\$
 - 2 pts Complex, unsure of \$\$\alpha\$\$ sign

- 2 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha>0\$\$
- 2 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha=0\$\$
- 4 pts Nothing helpful
- 2 pts "Complex and negative"
- **1 pts** Claimed \$\$\alpha>0\$\$ to maintain the minus sign in the guadratic formula
- 3 pts "Small distinct eigenvalues,
- \$\$\alpha>0,\beta<0\$\$"
 - 1 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha\leq 0\$\$ only

5.3 5c 3/4

- 0 pts Correct
- ✓ 1 pts Implied only two eigenvalues
 - 2 pts Real, but sign wrong (or

unmentioned/unclear)

- 4 pts Nothing helpful
- 3 pts Believed complex with negative \$\$\beta\$\$
- 2 pts Believed half of the eigenvalues were complex
- 5.4 5d 4/4
 - ✓ 0 pts Correct

5.5 5e 6/6

- ✓ 0 pts Correct
 - 1 pts Incorrect value of \$\$(A \lambda I)^2\$\$.

5.6 5f 6/6

- ✓ 0 pts Correct
 - 3 pts Checked eigenvalue but not eigenvector
- **3 pts** Computed A- lambda I but did not apply to the vector
 - 6 pts Incorrect or no work

5.7 5g 2/2

- ✓ 0 pts Correct
 - 2 pts Incorrect / no answer
 - 1 pts Click here to replace this description.

5.8 5h 10 / 10

- ✓ 0 pts Correct
 - 2 pts error in solution associated to generalized

eigenvector w

- 3 pts incorrect solution associated to w
- **5 pts** Does not give real valued solutions
- associated to complex conjugate pair of eigenvectors
 - $1\,pts$ incorrect solution associated to v

- **2 pts** error in solutions associated to complex conjugate pair

- **4 pts** incorrect/incomplete solutions associated to complex conjugate pair

- 10 pts Incorrect / no work

5.9 5i 4/4

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 4 pts Incorrect / no work
- **2 pts** Does not indicate long term behavior or incorrect assessment

- 2 pts Incorrect solutions x(t), y(t)

- **1 pts** Does not indicate long term behavior approaches (0,0)

- 1 pts error in one of the solutions

QUESTION 6

Q6 18 pts

6.16a 4/4

 \checkmark + 2 pts y = 2

\checkmark + 2 pts \$\$x = \pi k, k\in\mathbb Z\$\$

- + 1 pts Only finitely many solutions for x
- + 0 pts Incorrect or blank

6.2 6b 8/8

- \checkmark + 4 pts Even multiples of \$\$\pi\$\$: saddle
- \checkmark + 4 pts Odd multiples of \$\$\pi\$\$: spiral sink
 - + 0 pts Incorrect or blank
- + **4 pts** Med. partial credit (e.g. sign error/results backwards)
 - + 2 pts Partial credit
 - + 1 pts Compute Jacobian but no other progress

6.3 6C 6 / 6

√ + 1 pts \$\$A = 0\$\$
√ + 1 pts \$\$A = 1\$\$

- √ + 1 pts \$\$A = -1\$\$
- \checkmark + 1 pts \$\$A = 0\$\$ is inconclusive for any \$\$k\$\$
- \checkmark + 1 pts \$\$A = 1\$\$ is inconclusive for odd \$\$k\$\$
- \checkmark + 1 pts \$\$A = -1\$\$ is inconclusive for even \$\$k\$\$

+ 0 pts Incorrect or blank

+ **2 pts** Some progress but not specific, or partial progress due to errors in (b)

6.4 6d(bonus) 5 / 0

✓ + 5 pts Correct

+ 3 pts Right idea, but some issues with explanation

+ **2 pts** Believes non-saddles are always one type of sink

+ **2 pts** Believes non-saddles can be either source or sink

- + 1 pts Believes non-saddles are always source
- + 1 pts On the right track, but with some

incomplete/incorrect elements

- 0 pts Nothing helpful

- 1. Circle TRUE or FALSE for each; no explanation necessary.
 - (a) [2 pts] The initial value problem

$$x' = |x|, \quad x(0) = 0$$

has no solution because $\frac{d}{dx}|x|$ does not exist at x = 0.



(b) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (1, 4).



(c) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (2, -5).





1.1 **1**a 2 / 2

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect

- 1. Circle TRUE or FALSE for each; no explanation necessary.
 - (a) [2 pts] The initial value problem

$$x' = |x|, \quad x(0) = 0$$

has no solution because $\frac{d}{dx}|x|$ does not exist at x = 0.



(b) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (1, 4).



(c) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (2, -5).





1.2 **1**b 2/2

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect

- 1. Circle TRUE or FALSE for each; no explanation necessary.
 - (a) [2 pts] The initial value problem

$$x' = |x|, \quad x(0) = 0$$

has no solution because $\frac{d}{dx}|x|$ does not exist at x = 0.



(b) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (1, 4).



(c) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (2, -5).





1.3 **1**C 0 / 2

- 0 pts Correct

✓ - 2 pts Incorrect

- 1. Circle TRUE or FALSE for each; no explanation necessary.
 - (a) [2 pts] The initial value problem

$$x' = |x|, \quad x(0) = 0$$

has no solution because $\frac{d}{dx}|x|$ does not exist at x = 0.



(b) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (1, 4).



(c) [2 pts] Consider the 1st order ODE $y' = \sqrt{y^2 - 25}$. The existence and uniqueness theorem guarantees that there is a unique solution through the point (2, -5).





1.4 **1**d 2 / 2

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect

2. [8 pts] Consider the first order non-linear differential equation

$$xy' + y = x^4 y^3$$

where y is a function of x > 0. Solve for y(x) having initial value y(1) = -1 by following these steps:

- 1. Define a new function z(x) := xy, find $z'(x) = \frac{dz}{dx}$, and substitute to turn the given differential equation into a new one for z', z, and x.
- 2. Solve the new differential equation for z(x) (it should be easier).
- 3. Knowing z(x), find y(x).

Write your answer as an *explicit* function y(x).

()
$$\int_{0t}^{1} \frac{z(x)zxy}{z(x)zxy} = \frac{z(x)}{z(x)} = \frac{y}{z(x)} = \frac{z(x)}{dx}$$

 $x(y'+z'=xz^{3})$
 $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{dz}{dz}$
 $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dy}{dz} = xz^{3}$
 $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{x}{2}$
 $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{z}{2}$
 $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{z$

2 Q2 5/8

- 0 pts Correct
- 1 pts Sign error / doesn't satisfy the initial condition
- 1 pts Misc. error
- 2 pts Didn't write y explicitly
- 2 pts Computational error
- 3 pts Didn't use the initial condition (or applied it in a way that doesn't make sense)

\checkmark - **3 pts** Error in part 1 that throws off the rest

- 4 pts Subsequent parts unfinished
- 4 pts No constant in part 2
- 8 pts Incorrect or blank
- y is also a function of x, so (xy)' = xy' + y

3. [10 pts] Suppose we have a second order linear, homogeneous differential equation

$$x'' + ax' + bx = 0.$$

We can solve directly using the characteristic polynomial for this equation (call this polynomial $p_1(\lambda)$) OR we can transform this into a system of 1st order linear equations and solve using the characteristic polynomial for the corresponding matrix (call that polynomial $p_M(\lambda)$, M for matrix).

Prove that these two approaches are equivalent. That is, prove that $p_1(\lambda) = p_M(\lambda)$ AND that our resulting general solution for x is the same. You may assume that the eigenvalues are real and distinct (although this is not necessary).

$$(f) f_{1}(\lambda) = \lambda^{2} + a \lambda + b \quad Ch_{1} \quad dd \lambda^{1}(t, d)$$

$$(f) define \quad u_{1} = \mathbf{I} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad u_{1}^{1} = \mathbf{x}^{1} = u_{2}$$

$$u_{2}^{1} = \mathbf{x}^{n} = -a \, u_{2} - b \, u_{1}$$

$$(u_{1}^{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -b & -a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad So \quad y^{1} = Ay \quad for$$

$$for (\lambda) = \lambda^{2} - T \lambda + D$$

$$= \lambda^{2} + a \lambda + b = f_{1}(\lambda)$$

$$for (\lambda) = \lambda^{2} - T \lambda + D$$

$$= \lambda^{2} + a \lambda + b = f_{1}(\lambda)$$

$$for (\lambda) = \lambda^{1} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$for (\lambda) = \lambda^{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$for (\lambda) = (a + \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda) = (a + \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{1} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{2} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{2} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_{1} & 1 \\ -b & -a - \lambda_{2} \end{pmatrix} = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for (\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for (\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{1}I) for (\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = ker(\lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (\lambda_{2} \cdot ker(A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for (A - \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I) = (a + \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$for ($$

3 Q3 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Did not rule out possibility that top entry of an eigenvector is \$\$0\$\$

- 1 pts Minor errors
- 3 pts Moderate errors
- 5 pts Did not consider eigenvectors at all
- 5 pts Believed eigenvectors could be chosen at will
- 6 pts Did not consider \$\$p_1\$\$ at all, and thus did not consider top row at all
- 5 pts Believed \$\$p_1\$\$ solution had eigenvectors, and thus never considered top row
- 6 pts Showed \$\$p_1=p_M\$\$, and made no further claims
- 1 pts Correctly found eigenvectors, but did not mention reduction to top row only

- **5** pts Claimed one eigenvector was $\$ begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, nothing mentioned about the other

- 3 pts Correctly found eigenvectors, but claimed that combining vectors and scalars could reduce to the other solution

- **4 pts** Did not find eigenvectors, and claimed that they could be combined with scalars to arrive at \$\$p_1\$\$ solution

- **3 pts** Eigenvectors would not work if 0 is an eigenvalue, also did not properly indicate top row and absorption of constants

- 4 pts Began work on eigenvectors but could not finish
- 6 pts Believed both versions led to eigenvectors both being \$\$\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\$\$
- 8 pts Complete misunderstanding of matrix version, or did not attempt matrix version at all

- 4. Suppose that we have some matrix A who satisfies $A^2 = \alpha A$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ is some scalar.
 - (a) [5 pts] Using the definition of e^{tA} , prove that

Since
$$A^{2} = \alpha A' \alpha \neq 0$$
, $e^{tA} = I + \frac{e^{\alpha t} - 1}{\alpha} A$.
We know $A^{3} = AA^{2} = A(\alpha A) = \alpha(A^{2}) = \alpha^{2}A$
 $A^{4} = AA^{3} = A(\alpha^{2}A) = \alpha^{3}A$
So then, using Taylor expansion of e^{tA}
 $e^{tA} = I + tA + \frac{t^{2}}{2!}A^{2} + \frac{t^{3}}{3!}A^{3}...$
 $= I + tA + \frac{t^{2}}{2!}(\alpha A) + \frac{t^{3}}{3!}(\alpha^{2}A) + ...$
 $= I + (t + \frac{\alpha^{2}t^{2}}{2!} + \frac{\alpha^{2}t^{3}}{3!} + ...)A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I + (\alpha^{2} + \frac{1}{2!}\alpha^{2} + \frac{1}{3!}\alpha^{2} + \frac{$



Nok:

$$A^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 3A \Rightarrow 0X = 3$$

$$So \ e^{tA} = \int - \int + \frac{e^{tA}}{2} \int - \int + \frac{2^{3t}}{2} \int - \int A$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-1}}{3} \int \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \int \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-1}}{3} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

1.1 . .

4.1 4a 5 / 5

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Incorrect definition of matrix exponential.

- 4. Suppose that we have some matrix A who satisfies $A^2 = \alpha A$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ is some scalar.
 - (a) [5 pts] Using the definition of e^{tA} , prove that

Since
$$A^{2} = \alpha A' \alpha \neq 0$$
, $e^{tA} = I + \frac{e^{\alpha t} - 1}{\alpha} A$.
We know $A^{3} = AA^{2} = A(\alpha A) = \alpha(A^{2}) = \alpha^{2}A$
 $A^{4} = AA^{3} = A(\alpha^{2}A) = \alpha^{3}A$
So then, using Taylor expansion of e^{tA}
 $e^{tA} = I + tA + \frac{t^{2}}{2!}A^{2} + \frac{t^{3}}{3!}A^{3}...$
 $= I + tA + \frac{t^{2}}{2!}(\alpha A) + \frac{t^{3}}{3!}(\alpha^{2}A) + ...$
 $= I + (t + \frac{\alpha^{2}t^{2}}{2!} + \frac{\alpha^{2}t^{3}}{3!} + ...)A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I + (\alpha^{2} + \frac{1}{2!}\alpha^{2} + \frac{1}{3!}\alpha^{2} + \frac{$



Nok:

$$A^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 3A \Rightarrow 0X = 3$$

$$So \ e^{tA} = \int - \int + \frac{e^{tA}}{2} \int - \int + \frac{2^{3t}}{2} \int - \int A$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-1}}{3} \int \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \int \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e^{-1}}{3} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix} \int (1 & (1 & 1) \\ 1 & (1 & 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

1.1 . .

4.2 4b 5/5

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Incorrect value of \$\$\alpha\$\$.
- **0.5 pts** Using $\$ alpha A\$\$ instead of \$\$A\$\$ in the formula.
- **0.5 pts** Matrix computation errors.

5. Consider a system of two masses m_1 and m_2 connected by springs with spring constants k_1, k_2, k_3 as shown below, where all of the motion takes place in a liquid with damping constant c. The horizontal motions of m_1 and m_2 are tracked by x and y respectively.



(a) [6 pts] Construct the system of 2nd order differential equations for x(t) and y(t), and convert this system into a system of 1st order linear systems written in matrix format $\vec{u}' = A\vec{u}$. You can leave the constants $m_1, m_2, k_1, k_2, k_3, c$ in your answer. Note: total force = mat" or = my" (for mass land 2 respectively)

for moss 1:

$$m_1 x'' = -k_1 x - k_2 x + k_2 y - C x$$

5.1 5a 3/6

- 0 pts Correct
- **1 pts** Minor errors with mass
- 2 pts Errors with signs
- 2 pts Had damping terms for other masses
- 4 pts No attempt at damping terms
- \checkmark 3 pts Had damping attached to position instead of velocity
 - 4 pts Had \$\$c\$\$ present on all terms?
 - 4 pts Had damping listed as a constant, tried to write as inhomogeneous?
 - 5 pts Serious mistakes
 - 2 pts One damping term incorrect
 - 2 pts Attached \$\$x_1,x_2\$\$ to \$\$c\$\$ in the matrix (but in the correct places)?
 - 2 pts Missing one \$\$\frac{k_2}{m_i}\$\$ term
 - 2 pts Simple mistake with \$\$x'\$\$ vs \$\$y'\$\$
 - 3 pts No mass terms
 - 2 pts Included \$\$x,x',y,y'\$\$ terms in the matrix
 - 2 pts Errors with \$\$k_i\$\$'s

(b) [4 pts] Think about the actual motion of such a damped system. If c is very small (think 'underdamped'), use your physical intuition to deduce the types of eigenvalues A must have (regardless of the exact values of the constants). Be as specific as you can be. Real or complex? If real, positive/negative/zero/unsure? If complex $\alpha + i\beta$, positive/negative/zero/unsure for both α and β ?

· the eigenvalues will both be complex · since it is underdamped, of is negative and the eigenvalues will be complex conjugates of and the eigenvalues will be complex conjugates of achother, so B is positive for 1, and negative for is negative because et + 0 for f + + ∞.

(c) [4 pts] Consider the same questions for the case when c is very large (think 'overdamped'),

5.2 5b 4/4

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Believed in a fixed sign for \$\$\beta\$\$
- 2 pts Complex, unsure of \$\$\alpha\$\$ sign
- 2 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha>0\$\$
- 2 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha=0\$\$
- 4 pts Nothing helpful
- 2 pts "Complex and negative"
- 1 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha>0\$\$ to maintain the minus sign in the quadratic formula
- 3 pts "Small distinct eigenvalues, \$\$\alpha>0,\beta<0\$\$"
- 1 pts Claimed \$\$\alpha\leq 0\$\$ only

(b) [4 pts] Think about the actual motion of such a damped system. If c is very small (think 'underdamped'), use your physical intuition to deduce the types of eigenvalues A must have (regardless of the exact values of the constants). Be as specific as you can be. Real or complex? If real, positive/negative/zero/unsure? If complex $\alpha + i\beta$, positive/negative/zero/unsure for both α and β ?

· the eigenvalues will both be complex · since it is underdamped, of is negative and the eigenvalues will be complex conjugates of and the eigenvalues will be complex conjugates of achother, so B is positive for 1, and negative for is negative because et + 0 for f + + ∞.

(c) [4 pts] Consider the same questions for the case when c is very large (think 'overdamped'),

5.3 5c 3/4

- 0 pts Correct

\checkmark - 1 pts Implied only two eigenvalues

- 2 pts Real, but sign wrong (or unmentioned/unclear)
- 4 pts Nothing helpful
- **3 pts** Believed complex with negative \$\$\beta\$\$
- 2 pts Believed half of the eigenvalues were complex

To consider a case where c is 'moderate', suppose that the constants $m_1, m_2, k_1, k_2, k_3, c$ have been chosen so that the resulting linear system is as follows:

$$\vec{\mathbf{u}}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{u}}$$

(d) [4 pts] Verify that
$$\lambda = -1$$
 is an eigenvalue with associated eigenvector $\vec{\nabla} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$.
 $7L_{12}$ $\vec{7}$ $\vec{5}$ $\vec{0}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{w} = \vec{1}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{0}$ $\vec{0}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{1}$

5.4 5d 4 / 4 ✓ - 0 pts Correct To consider a case where c is 'moderate', suppose that the constants $m_1, m_2, k_1, k_2, k_3, c$ have been chosen so that the resulting linear system is as follows:

$$\vec{\mathbf{u}}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{u}}$$

(d) [4 pts] Verify that
$$\lambda = -1$$
 is an eigenvalue with associated eigenvector $\vec{\nabla} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$.
 $7L_{12}$ $\vec{7}$ $\vec{5}$ $\vec{0}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{w} = \vec{1}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{0}$ $\vec{0}$ $\vec{1}$ $\vec{1}$

5.5 5e 6/6

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Incorrect value of \$\$(A - \lambda I)^2\$\$.

î

(f) [6 pts] Verify that
$$\lambda = -1+2i$$
 is a complex eigenvalue with associated complex eigenvector

$$\vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2i \\ -5 \\ (3-6i) \\ 15 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{Need}}{(A-\lambda I)} \vec{z} = (A + ((-2i)I)\vec{z} = O)$$

$$(A-\lambda I)\vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda i & | & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -1-\lambda i & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-2i) \\ 3 & 0 & -4 & -1-\lambda i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1+2i \\ -5 \\ -3-6i \\ 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} ((-2i))(|1+2i) - 5 \\ -2(1+2i) - 5(-i-2i) - 3-6i \\ (-3-6i)(1-2i) + 15 \\ 3(1+2i) - 4(-3-6i) + 15(-(-2i)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 5 - 5 \\ -2 -4i + 5 + (0i - 3 - 6i \\ -3 - 6i + 6i - 12 + 15 \\ 3 + 6i + 12 + 24i - 15 - 30i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$
So \vec{z} is a complet eigenvector for $\lambda = -1+2i$

(g) [2 pts] What is the fourth (and final) eigenvalue for A?

$$\lambda = -1-2$$
; (complex conjugate)

5.6 5f **6** / 6

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 3 pts Checked eigenvalue but not eigenvector
- 3 pts Computed A- lambda I but did not apply to the vector
- 6 pts Incorrect or no work

î

(f) [6 pts] Verify that
$$\lambda = -1+2i$$
 is a complex eigenvalue with associated complex eigenvector

$$\vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2i \\ -5 \\ (3-6i) \\ 15 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{Need}}{(A-\lambda I)} \vec{z} = (A + ((-2i)I)\vec{z} = O)$$

$$(A-\lambda I)\vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda i & | & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -1-\lambda i & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-2i) \\ 3 & 0 & -4 & -1-\lambda i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1+2i \\ -5 \\ -3-6i \\ 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} ((-2i))(|1+2i) - 5 \\ -2(1+2i) - 5(-i-2i) - 3-6i \\ (-3-6i)(1-2i) + 15 \\ 3(1+2i) - 4(-3-6i) + 15(-(-2i)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 5 - 5 \\ -2 -4i + 5 + (0i - 3 - 6i \\ -3 - 6i + 6i - 12 + 15 \\ 3 + 6i + 12 + 24i - 15 - 30i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}$$
So \vec{z} is a complet eigenvector for $\lambda = -1+2i$

(g) [2 pts] What is the fourth (and final) eigenvalue for A?

$$\lambda = -1-2$$
; (complex conjugate)

5.7 5g 2/2

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Incorrect / no answer
- 1 pts Click here to replace this description.

(h) [10 pts] Write down the general solution for the system $\vec{\mathbf{u}}' = A\vec{\mathbf{u}}$. Here are all of the eigenvalue/eigenvector pairs you have been given:

$$\lambda = -1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \vec{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\mathbf{w}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (\text{for } (A - \lambda I)^2)$$
$$\lambda = -1 + 2i \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \vec{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2i \\ -5 \\ -3 - 6i \\ 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

we know



(There is more space on the next page)



(i) [4 pts] Convert your solution for $\vec{\mathbf{u}}$ into a set of solutions for x(t) and y(t), and describe the long-term behavior of such solutions in the xy-plane.

 $= \mathcal{N}_{1} = \mathcal{X}(t), \ \mathcal{N}_{3} = \mathcal{Y}(t) \quad \text{so}:$ $= \mathcal{X}(t) = e^{t} \left[(1 + \ell_{2}(1+t) + \ell_{3}(\cos 2t - 2\sin 2t) + (4(2\cos 2t + \sin 2t)) \right]$

 $y(t) = e^{t} \left[C_1 + C_2(1+t) + (3(-3cos2t+6sin2t)) + (4(-6cos2t-3sin2t)) \right]$ for x(t), y(t) as t-000, et -00 x(t)+0, y(t)+0

5.8 5h 10 / 10

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts error in solution associated to generalized eigenvector w
- 3 pts incorrect solution associated to w
- 5 pts Does not give real valued solutions associated to complex conjugate pair of eigenvectors
- 1 pts incorrect solution associated to v
- 2 pts error in solutions associated to complex conjugate pair
- 4 pts incorrect/incomplete solutions associated to complex conjugate pair
- 10 pts Incorrect / no work



(i) [4 pts] Convert your solution for $\vec{\mathbf{u}}$ into a set of solutions for x(t) and y(t), and describe the long-term behavior of such solutions in the xy-plane.

 $= \mathcal{N}_{1} = \mathcal{X}(t), \ \mathcal{N}_{3} = \mathcal{Y}(t) \quad \text{so}:$ $= \mathcal{X}(t) = e^{t} \left[(1 + \ell_{2}(1+t) + \ell_{3}(\cos 2t - 2\sin 2t) + (4(2\cos 2t + \sin 2t)) \right]$

 $y(t) = e^{t} \left[C_1 + C_2(1+t) + (3(-3cos2t+6sin2t)) + (4(-6cos2t-3sin2t)) \right]$ for x(t), y(t) as t-000, et -00 x(t)+0, y(t)+0

5.9 5i 4/4

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 4 pts Incorrect / no work
- 2 pts Does not indicate long term behavior or incorrect assessment
- 2 pts Incorrect solutions x(t), y(t)
- 1 pts Does not indicate long term behavior approaches (0,0)
- 1 pts error in one of the solutions

$$x' = y - 2$$
$$y' = A\sin x - 2y + 4$$

where A is some arbitrary constant.

(a) [4 pts] Find the equilibrium points (there should be infinitely many of them).

step 1: Solve
$$\begin{cases} x'=y-2=0 \quad 0=f(x,y) \\ y'=Asihx-2y+4=0 \quad 0 = g(x,y) \end{cases}$$
(1) $y-2=0 \Rightarrow y=2$
(2) if $y=2$, $Asihx=0$
 $nole \quad Asihx=0 \quad for \quad x=\pi_n, for \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}$
 $nole \quad Asihx=0 \quad for \quad x=\pi_n, for \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}$
 $so then \quad ognilibrium \quad points have the form
 $(\pi_n, 2), for \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}$$

6.16a 4/4

√ + 2 pts y = 2

\checkmark + 2 pts \$\$x = \pi k, k\in\mathbb Z\$\$

- + **1 pts** Only finitely many solutions for x
- + 0 pts Incorrect or blank

(b) [8 pts] In the case that A = 8, use linearization to determine the type of each equilibrium point. Make sure your answer clearly covers all of the points.

$$J(\mathcal{X}_{0}, \underline{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta f_{Sx} & \delta f_{Sy} \\ \delta g_{Sx} & \delta g_{Sy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 8 \cos x & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

at q. points $(2n, 2)$, $J(2, 7\hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 8 \cos 7\hbar & -2 \end{pmatrix}$
Now we have $u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 8 \cos 7\hbar & -2 \end{pmatrix} u$ near \overline{O}
for n even, $8 \cos 7\hbar = 8$, so $u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 8 & -2 \end{pmatrix} u$
Note: Soddle when $D < O$, $D = -8 < O$, SO
eq. pts $(7\hbar, 2)$ are sodelle points when n is even.
for n odd, $8 \cos 7\hbar = -1$, so $u' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -8 & -2 \end{pmatrix} u$
So $T = -2$, $D = 8$
 $T^{2} - 4D = 4 - 32 \angle O$
So eq. pts $(7\hbar, 2)$ are spin-l spiks for n odd.

6.2 6b 8/8

✓ + 4 pts Even multiples of \$\$\pi\$\$: saddle

✓ + 4 pts Odd multiples of \$\$\pi\$\$: spiral sink

- + 0 pts Incorrect or blank
- + 4 pts Med. partial credit (e.g. sign error/results backwards)
- + 2 pts Partial credit
- + **1 pts** Compute Jacobian but no other progress

(c) [6 pts] There are precisely three values for A that can cause the linearization technique to be inconclusive at certain equilibrium points. Find these three values, and for each of them state which equilibrium points have inconclusive behavior.

inconclusive when T²-40=0, or when D=0 7=2, 50, 4-40=0SO D=1 gives incadusive behavior. Note D= - A costin = 1 Owhen A=O. D=O. T>O so we have the equilibrium point (0,2) which is a conker, and is not generic, so this analysis is incordusive for the original system. When A=1. D=-costin, which means D=1 for nodd. Guilbrium points are at (Thn, 2) (for n odd) have manchusive behavior since it lieson the 72-4D=0 curve. 3 When A=-1: D= costin, which means D=1 for never. equilibrium points in the form (Th,2), (for n even) have inconclusive behavior since it lies on the T2-4D=0 curve. So inconclusive behavior at A=1,-1,0

6.3 6c 6/6

√ + 1 pts \$\$A = 0\$\$

√ + 1 pts \$\$A = 1\$\$

✓ + 1 pts \$\$A = -1\$\$

 \checkmark + 1 pts \$\$A = 0\$\$ is inconclusive for any \$\$k\$\$

- \checkmark + 1 pts \$\$A = 1\$\$ is inconclusive for odd \$\$k\$\$
- \checkmark + 1 pts \$\$A = -1\$\$ is inconclusive for even \$\$k\$\$
 - + 0 pts Incorrect or blank
 - + 2 pts Some progress but not specific, or partial progress due to errors in (b)

(d) Bonus: worth 5 points max If A is some fixed value that is not one of the three 'bad'

same behavior? Explain, This is not possible. This is because for the linearization, D=-A costin. given A=0,-1 or 1, D will oscillate between being - A or A depending on whether n is odd or even, since if n is even, costin = 1 and if n is odd. costin = -1. Since D oscillate between being negative and positive, the behavior will oscillate between a suddle point (DXO), and other forms of behavior (D>O). Therefore for any A=t O-1 or 1, it is NOT possible (D>O). Therefore for any A=t O-1 or 1, it is NOT possible that all equilibrium points exhibit the same behavior.

6.4 6d(bonus) 5 / 0

✓ + 5 pts Correct

- + **3 pts** Right idea, but some issues with explanation
- + 2 pts Believes non-saddles are always one type of sink
- + 2 pts Believes non-saddles can be either source or sink
- + 1 pts Believes non-saddles are always source
- + 1 pts On the right track, but with some incomplete/incorrect elements
- 0 pts Nothing helpful