## Question 1

A subspace *V* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *hyperplane* if the vectors  $\vec{x} \in V$  are defined by an equation:  $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = 0$ , where at least one of the coefficients  $a_2$  is popper.  $a_2 x_2 + \ldots + a_n x_n = 0$ , where at least one of the coefficients  $a_i$  is nonzero.

- (a) [3 points] How many of the variables  $x_i$  are free? What is the dimension of a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ?
- (**b**) [4 points] Explain what a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  looks like, and give a basis for the hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by the equation  $x_1 + 2x_2 = 0$ .
- (c) *[3 points]* Find an equation like the one given above for the plane spanned by the vectors  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 1  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

. . . . . . . . .

and 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

### Answer

- (a) If all the  $a_i = 0$ , then the equation would just say  $0 = 0$  and all the variables are free. Since this is not the case, in order for the equation  $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \ldots + a_nx_n = 0$  to hold, we pick one variable  $x_i$  with a nonzero  $a_i$ . Then all the other variables are free, and they determine the value of  $x_i$ . Since there are  $n-1$  free variables, we can write our vectors in *V* as linear combinations of exactly  $n - 1$  vectors, so *V* has  $n - 1$  dimensions.
- (**b**) The equation  $x_1 + 2x_2 = 0$  gives us  $x_2 = s$  and  $x_1 = -2s$ , so the vectors look like  $s \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 1 ! . We have a 1-dimensional subspace (a line) with basis  $\begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 1 ! .
- (c) All vectors in this space can be written as  $\vec{x} = s$  1  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 1 0  $\lambda$  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ + *t* 1  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 0  $\boldsymbol{0}$  $\lambda$  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ =  $\int$ *s* + *t*  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ *t* 0 ſ  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ . This means that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$

are free, and  $x_3 = 0$ : that's our equation. (Those two vectors clearly span the horizontal plane in 3D where the third entry of each vector is 0.)

. . . . . . . . .

1

Í

 $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

ĺ

1

Í

3

# Question 2

- (a) *[3 points]* Define the kernel and image of a matrix *A*.
- (b) *[4 points]* Verify that both the kernel and image of *A* are closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

. . . . . . . . .

(c) [3 *points]* Find a  $3 \times 3$  matrix A such that both the kernel and the image of A contain [2].  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ 

#### Answer

- (a) The kernel of a matrix *A* is the set of all vectors  $\vec{x}$  in the domain of *A* such that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ . The image of *A* is the set of all vectors  $\vec{y}$  in the target spaces of *A* such that there exists an  $\vec{x}$  in the domain for which  $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ .
- (b) For the kernel:

If  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2$  ∈ ker(*A*), then  $A(\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2) = A\vec{x}_1 + A\vec{x}_2 = \vec{0}$ . Therefore  $\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2$  is in the kernel: closed under addition. If  $\vec{x} \in \text{ker}(A)$ , then  $A(k\vec{x}) = kA\vec{x} = k\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ . Therefore,  $k\vec{x}$  is in the kernel, for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ : closed under scalar multiplication.

For the image:

If  $\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2 \in \text{im}(A)$ , then there exist  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2$  (not necessarily the same vectors as earlier) such that  $A\vec{x}_1 = \vec{y}_1$  and  $A\vec{x}_2 = \vec{y}_2$ . Then  $A(\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2) = A\vec{x}_1 + A\vec{x}_2 = \vec{y}_1 + \vec{y}_2$ . Therefore  $\vec{y}_1 + \vec{y}_2 \in \vec{y}_2$ im(*A*): closed under addition. If  $\vec{y}$  ∈ im(*A*), then there exists some  $\vec{x}$  in the domain of *A* for which  $A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ . Then  $Ak\vec{x} = kA\vec{x} = k\vec{y}$ , so  $k\vec{y} \in \text{im}(A)$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ : closed under scalar multiplication.

(c) *A* for 1  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 2 3  $\lambda$  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ to be in the kernel, we must have that each row of *A* satisfies  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$ . This

means that for each row we have two free variables. For 1  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 2 3 Í  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$ to be in the image, we can just

add it in as one of the columns. Since we have two free variables per row we can add it in as a column twice, and then find the third entries for each row:

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 3 & 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

. . . . . . . . .

## Question 3

- (a) *[3 points]* Define what it means for a matrix to be invertible.
- **(b)** *[4 points]* Find the projection of the vector  $\vec{x} =$  $\sqrt{1}$ 0 ! onto the line *L* spanned by  $\vec{w}$  =  $\sqrt{1}$ 1 ! . Explain why this transformation is not invertible.
- (c) *[3 points]* For which values of *x* is the following matrix invertible? First answer this question using the determinant of *A*, and then give a geometrical interpretation.

. . . . . . . . .

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ -a & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

### Answer

- (a) A square matrix *A* is invertible if there exists a matrix  $A^{-1}$  such that  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ . (There are many other possible definitions in terms of kernels, ranks, determinants, etc...)
- **(b)** We first find the unit vector in the direction on *L*. We have  $\vec{u} = \frac{\vec{w}}{\|\vec{w}\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\sqrt{1}$ 1 ! . Now  $proj_L \vec{x} =$

 $(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u})\vec{u} = \frac{1}{2}$ 2  $\sqrt{1}$ 1 ! . (This answer is also easy to deduce from a sketch.) Alternatively, you can use the matrix for the 2-D projection in terms of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  that we defined in the lectures.

(c) We have that  $det(A) = 1 + a^2 > 0$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , so *A* is always invertible. We know that a matrix of the form matrix of the form

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix}
$$

represents a rotation followed by a scaling. Both rotations and scalings are clearly invertible: a scaling by a factor *k* can be inverted by a scaling by  $1/k$ , and a rotation over an angle  $\theta$  can be inverted by a rotation over an angle <sup>−</sup>θ. Therefore, it is clear that *<sup>A</sup>* should indeed be an invertible matrix.

. . . . . . . . .