

MATH 131A Midterm I, Fall 2019

Name: Neil Prajapati

Justify All Your Answers.

Problem 1. (5)

- (i) Decide if  $\sqrt{5-\sqrt{2}}$  is a rational number.
- (ii) Prove your conclusion in (i).

i) It is not rational. ✓

ii) Let  $x = \sqrt{5-\sqrt{2}}$  is a rational number.  
 $x^2 = 5 - \sqrt{2}$

$$x^2 - 5 = -\sqrt{2}$$

$$x^4 - 10x^2 + 25 = 2$$

$$x^4 - 10x^2 + 23 = 0$$

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{\pm 1}{\pm 1}, \pm 23$$

$$x = \pm 1, \pm 23$$

$$(\pm 23)^4 - 10(\pm 23)^2 + 23 \neq 0$$

$$1^4 - 10(1) + 23 = 14 \neq 0$$

Thus  $x$  cannot be rational by the rational roots theorem.

degree	1	5
5	2	4
	3	2
	4	4
16	7	15



Problem 2. (5)

(i) Using the theorem on limits find  $\lim s_n$ . Here  $s_n = \frac{n^2 + 2n + 6}{n^2 - 5}$ .

(ii) Using the definition only give a formal proof that the sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in

(i) above is convergent to the limit you have found.

(iii) Using the definition only show that  $s_n = 1 + (-1)^n 2$  is not convergent.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2 + 2n + 6}{n^2 - 5} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + 2/n + 6/n^2}{1 - 5/n^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2/n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 6/n^2}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -5/n^2} = \frac{1}{1} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad \left| \frac{n^2 + 2n + 6}{n^2 - 5} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{n^2 + 2n + 6 - n^2 + 5}{n^2 - 5} \right| = \left| \frac{2n + 11}{n^2 - 5} \right|$$

$$\left| \frac{2n + 11}{n^2 - 5} \right| \leq \left| \frac{13n}{\frac{1}{2}n^2} \right| \quad \text{when } n \geq 4$$

$$\left| \frac{2n + 11}{n^2 - 5} \right| \leq \left| \frac{26}{n} \right|$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $N = \max \left\{ \frac{26}{\epsilon}, 4 \right\}$ . If  $n > N$ ,  
 then  $n > \frac{26}{\epsilon}$  so  $\epsilon > \frac{26}{n}$ . Since  $\frac{26}{n} \geq \left| \frac{n^2 + 2n + 6}{n^2 - 5} - 1 \right|$   
 then  $\left| \frac{n^2 + 2n + 6}{n^2 - 5} - 1 \right| < \epsilon$ . Thus  $\lim s_n = 1$

(iii) All even terms can be written as  $s_{2k} = 3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly,  
 $s_{2k+1} = -1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $\lim s_n = s$  then  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon/2$   
 $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n > N$ . So

$$|s_{2k+1} - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad |s_{2k} - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad 2k > N$$

$$|s_{2k+1} - s| + |s_{2k} - s| < \epsilon$$

$$|s_{2k+1} - s| + |s - s_{2k}| < \epsilon$$

$$|s_{2k+1} - s_{2k}| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists N > 0$$

which is false. Thus  $\lim s_n$  does not exist.

Regrede.  
 Full credit

← true if put  $2\epsilon$



Problem 3. (5)

(i) Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  bounded above but without maximum. Using the definition only show that the set  $T$  defined to be  $T = \{x \mid -x \in S\}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  bounded below but without minimum.

(ii) Prove or disprove that if  $(s)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are two bounded sequences with  $s_n > 0$  and  $t_n > 0$ , then  $\limsup(s_n t_n) = \limsup s_n \cdot \limsup t_n$ .

i) By the Completeness Axiom, if  $S$  is bounded above, it has a least upper bound  $s_0 = \sup S$ . Since  $s_0$  is an upperbound  $x \leq s_0$  for  $\forall x \in S$ .

$$x \leq s_0$$

$$-x \geq -s_0 \quad \text{for } \forall x \in S$$

but  $\{-x \mid x \in S\}$  is the definition of  $T$ . So  $\forall t \in T$ ,  $t \geq -s_0$ . Thus  $T$  is bounded below.

$s_0 \notin S$  by the assumption. Let's assume  $t_0 = -s_0 \in T$ .

If  $t_0 \in T$ , then  $t_0 = -s$  where  $s \in S$ .  $s = -t_0 = -(-s_0) = s_0$   
 So  $s_0 \in S$ . Contradiction. Thus,  $t_0 \notin T$ .

So  $T$  is bounded below and does not have a minimum.

ii) Let's assume  $\limsup \{s_n t_n : n > N\} > \limsup \{s_n : n > N\} \cdot \limsup \{t_n : n > N\}$   
 if  $s > s_0$ , then we could plug in the same  $n$  for both  $\limsup \{s_n : n > N\}$  and  $\limsup \{t_n : n > N\}$ . Thus, our statement is ~~is~~ False and  $\limsup \{s_n t_n : n > N\} \leq \limsup \{s_n : n > N\} \cdot \limsup \{t_n : n > N\}$ .

X - 3

can be <



Problem 4. (5)

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is defined inductively by the condition  $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{6}(s_n + 3)$  with  $s_1 = 1$ .

- (i) Show that  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is decreasing and bounded below without using the explicit expression of  $s_n$ .  
(ii) Find  $\lim s_n$ .

(i) Decreasing:

Base case.

$$s_1 \geq s_2 \\ 1 \geq \frac{5}{6} \checkmark$$

Inductive case: Assume  $s_{k+1} \geq s_k$

$$s_{k-1} \geq s_k \\ s_{k-1} + 3 \geq s_k + 3 \\ \frac{1}{6}(s_{k-1} + 3) \geq \frac{1}{6}(s_k + 3) \checkmark \\ s_k \geq s_{k+1}$$

Thus by math induction, the sequence is decreasing and bounded. Since the sequence is decreasing, we know

$$s_n \geq s_{n+1} \\ s_n \geq \frac{1}{6}(s_n + 3) \quad \text{this not valid}$$

$$6s_n \geq s_n + 3$$

$$5s_n \geq 3$$

$$s_n \geq 3/5 \quad \text{for } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded below.

(ii)  $s = \lim \frac{1}{6}(s_n + 3)$

at very large  $n$ ,  $s_n \rightarrow s$ . Thus

$$s = \frac{1}{6}(s + 3)$$

$$6s = s + 3$$

$$5s = 3$$

$$\boxed{s = 3/5}$$