

Math 115A - Winter 2019

Exam 1 - Solutions

Full Name: _____

UID: _____

Instructions:

- Read each problem carefully.
 - Show all work clearly and circle or box your final answer where appropriate.
 - Justify your answers. A correct final answer without valid reasoning will not receive credit.
 - All work including proofs should be well organized and clearly written using complete sentences.
 - You may use the provided scratch paper, however this work will not be graded unless very clearly indicated there and in the exam.
 - Calculators are not allowed but you may have a 3×5 inch notecard.
-

Page	Points	Score
1	10	
2	15	
3	5	
4	10	
Total:	40	

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

You may use this page for scratch work. Work found on this page will not be graded unless clearly indicated here and in the exam.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

You may use this page for scratch work. Work found on this page will not be graded unless clearly indicated here and in the exam.

Recall for a matrix $A \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$ the *trace* of A and the *determinant* of A are given by

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \operatorname{tr} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = a + d, \quad \det(A) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc.$$

1. (10 points) True or False: Prove or disprove the following statements.

(a) The set $W = \{A \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) \mid \operatorname{tr}(A) = 0\}$ is a subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$.

(b) The set $W = \{A \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) \mid \det(A) = 0\}$ is a subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$.

Solution:

(a) **True.**

Proof. In order to show W is a subspace we need to show it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, and that W contains zero. Suppose we have two arbitrary elements $A, B \in W$ so

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a + d = 0$ and $w + z = 0$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$A + B = \begin{pmatrix} a + w & b + x \\ c + y & d + z \end{pmatrix}$$

has trace $(a + w) + (d + z) = (a + d) + (w + z) = 0 + 0 = 0$. So W is closed under addition. Now for scalar multiplication consider

$$\lambda A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda a & \lambda b \\ \lambda c & \lambda d \end{pmatrix},$$

which has trace $\lambda a + \lambda d = \lambda(a + d) = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0$. So W is closed under scalar multiplication. The zero matrix has all zero entries so $\operatorname{tr}(0) = 0 + 0 = 0$, and so $0 \in W$. Thus W is a subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$. \square

An alternative solution: show that $\operatorname{tr} : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear operator and that $W = \ker T$.

(b) **False.**

Take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in W.$$

Then

$$A + B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has determinant 1, so $A + B$ is not in W .

2. (15 points) Let S_1 and S_2 be lists of vectors in a vector space V . Let $W_1 = \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2)$ and $W_2 = \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$
- (a) Prove that W_1 is a subset of W_2 .
 - (b) Given an example of S_1 and S_2 for which W_1 and W_2 are equal.
 - (c) Given an example of S_1 and S_2 for which W_1 and W_2 are not equal.

Solution:

- (a) *Proof.* Suppose $v \in W_1 = \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2)$ is an arbitrary element. Then v is a linear combination of some vectors in S_1 and S_2 , with $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n v_n$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v_i \in S_1 \cap S_2$. Since each $v_i \in S_1$, the vector v is a linear combination of elements from S_1 and so $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$. Similarly, since each $v_i \in S_2$, the vector v is a linear combination of elements from S_2 , which implies $v \in \text{span}(S_2)$. So $v \in \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2) = W_2$. Thus $W_1 \subseteq W_2$. \square
- (b) There are many possible answers. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and take $S_1 = \{(1, 0)\}$ and $S_2 = \{(0, 1)\}$. Then $S_1 \cap S_2$ is empty and $W_1 = \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \{0\}$. On the other hand, $\text{span}(S_1)$ is the x -axis and $\text{span}(S_2)$ is the y -axis. The only point on both axes is the origin so also $W_2 = \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2) = \{0\}$.
- (c) There are again many possible answers. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and take $S_1 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ and $S_2 = \{(1, 1)\}$. Again $S_1 \cap S_2$ is empty so $W_1 = \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \{0\}$. But now $\text{span}(S_1) = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\text{span}(S_2)$ is the diagonal in \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e. $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. So $W_2 = \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$ is also the diagonal and $W_1 \neq W_2$.

3. (5 points) True or False: Prove or disprove the following statement.

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $W_1 = \text{span}\{(2, 1)\}$ and $W_2 = \text{span}\{(0, 1)\}$. Then $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Solution: True.

Proof. Since W_1 and W_2 are subspaces and \mathbb{R}^2 is closed under addition, it follows that $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be arbitrary. Then we can write (x, y) as a linear combination of $(2, 1)$ and $(0, 1)$ since

$$a(2, 1) + b(0, 1) = (x, y)$$

if we let $a = \frac{x}{2}$ and $b = y - \frac{x}{2}$. So $(x, y) \in W_1 + W_2$ and $V \subseteq W_1 + W_2$. Now suppose we have $(x, y) \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Then $(x, y) \in W_1$ and $(x, y) \in W_2$. Since $W_1 = \text{span}\{(2, 1)\}$ we can write $(x, y) = a(2, 1) = (2a, a)$. But then $W_2 = \text{span}\{(0, 1)\}$ so we can also write $(x, y) = b(0, 1) = (0, b)$. The second equation gives $x = 0$ and then the first equation gives $2a = 0$ so $a = 0$ and also $y = 0$. Hence $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ and so $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$. Thus $\mathbb{R}^2 = W_1 \oplus W_2$. \square

4. (10 points) Consider the function $T : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$ defined by $T(M) = EM - ME$ where E is the matrix $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

(a) Prove that T is a linear transformation.

(b) Find the rank and nullity of T .

Solution:

(a) *Proof.* Let $M, N \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$. Then T distributes over sums because

$$\begin{aligned} T(M + N) &= E(M + N) - (M + N)E \\ &= EM + EN - ME - NE \\ &= (EM - ME) + (EN - NE) \\ &= T(M) + T(N). \end{aligned}$$

For scalar multiplication, we check

$$T(\lambda M) = E(\lambda M) - (\lambda M)E = \lambda EM - \lambda ME = \lambda(EM - ME) = \lambda T(M).$$

So T is a linear transformation. □

(b) First we compute $T(M)$ explicitly for a matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(M) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c & d - a \\ 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If $M \in \ker T$ then $T(M) = 0$, so we must have $a = d$ and $c = 0$. So

$$\ker T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F} \right\},$$

which has a basis given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

So $\dim(\ker T) = 2$ and $\dim M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) = 4$, by the Rank-Nullity Theorem we have $\dim(\text{im } T) = \dim M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) - \dim(\ker T) = 2$.