

ECE-241A: Midterm Solution

Spring 2022

Problem 1 (Urns)

We pick consecutively, randomly and without putting them back, n balls from a urn. The urn contains r red balls and b blue balls, with $r + b \geq n$. Given that k of the n drawn balls are blue, what is the probability for the first drawn ball to be blue?

Solution

We assume that a unique index is associated to each ball: 1 to b for the blue balls, and $b + 1$ to $b + r$ for the red balls. We can represent the random experiment of selection without putting back the balls as a vector of distinct integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , with $x_i \in \{1, \dots, r + b\}$. Each vector represents an outcome, i.e., an element of Ω . They all have the same probability.

Given that we consider vectors with k blue balls, all the relevant outcomes have also same probability. Since the first drawn ball can be, with same probability, any of the n balls (among those there are k blue balls), the probability considered is $\frac{k}{n}$.

Alternatively, we can solve this problem using conditioning. Let B the event “the first ball is blue” and B_k the event “all the k balls have been drawn”. Then

$$P(B|B_k) = \frac{P(B \cap B_k)}{P(B_k)} = \frac{P(B_k|B)P(B)}{P(B_k)}$$

with $P(B_k|B)$ the probability that a choice of $n - 1$ balls from a urn containing r red balls and $b - 1$ blue balls gives $k - 1$ blue balls. Thus,

$$P(B_k|B) = \frac{\binom{b-1}{k-1} \binom{r}{n-k}}{\binom{r+b-1}{n-1}}.$$

With $P(B) = \frac{b}{r+b}$ and the hypergeometric probability $P(B_k) = \frac{\binom{b}{k} \binom{r}{n-k}}{\binom{r+b}{n}}$, we get $P(B|B_k) = \frac{k}{n}$.

Problem 2

A communication system has n components: each of these components will be active, independently of the others, with probability p . The entire system can properly work only if half of its components are operational.

- For which values of p is a 5-component system more often operational than a 3-component system?
- In which situation will a system with $2k + 1$ components be preferable to a system with $2k - 1$ components?

Solution

- a. The number of active components is a random variable of binomial law with parameter (n, p) . Thus, the probability that a 5-component system works is

$$\binom{5}{3}p^3(1-p)^2 + \binom{5}{4}p^4(1-p) + p^5$$

while the corresponding probability for a 3-component system is

$$\binom{3}{2}p^2(1-p) + p^3.$$

Consequently, the 5-component system is preferable if

$$10p^3(1-p)^2 + 5p^4(1-p) + p^5 > 3p^2(1-p) + p^3$$

which can be reduced to

$$3(p-1)^2(2p-1) > 0$$

or $p > \frac{1}{2}$.

- b. Let us show that a system with $2k+1$ is preferable if $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Let us consider a such system and denote by X the number of active components among the $2k-1$ first components. Then

$$P_{2k+1}[\{\text{System is active}\}] = P[X \geq k+1] + P[X = k](1 - (1-p)^2) + P[X = k-1]p^2$$

since the $2k+1$ component system will be active in the following cases: $X \geq k+1$; $X = k$ and at least one of the two remaining components is active; $X = k-1$ and the last two component are both active. Since $P_{2k-1}[\{\text{System is active}\}] = P[X \geq k] = P[X = k] + P[X \geq k+1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2k+1}[\{\text{System is active}\}] - P_{2k-1}[\{\text{System is active}\}] &= P[X = k-1]p^2 - (1-p)^2P[X = k] \\ &= \binom{2k-1}{k-1}p^{k-1}(1-p)^k p^2 - (1-p)^2 \binom{2k-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{k-1} \\ &= \binom{2k-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^k (p - (1-p)) \\ &> 0 \iff p > \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

by noticing that $\binom{2k-1}{k-1} = \binom{2k-1}{k}$.

Problem 3

Let X and Y be two independent random variables of law $U[0, 1]$. What is the conditional law of the random variable $(X - Y)^+$ knowing Y ?

Solution

Let $Z = (X - Y)^+$. Note that X and Y are independent. When conditioning on $Y = y \in [0, 1]$, $X \sim [0, 1]$. We have

$$\Pr[X \leq y | Y = y] = y \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr[X \leq y + z | Y = y] = y + z \quad \text{for } 0 \leq z \leq 1 - y.$$

Moreover, $\Pr[X \leq y + z] = 1$ for $z \geq 1 - y$. Hence, we have the conditional c.d.f for $Z|Y$ as

$$F_{Z|Y}[z|y] = \Pr[(X - y)^+ \leq z|Y = y] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } z < 0 \\ \Pr[X \leq y|Y = y] = y, & \text{for } z = 0 \\ \Pr[X \leq y + z|Y = y] = y + z, & \text{for } 0 \leq z < 1 - y, \\ 1, & \text{for } z \geq 1 - y. \end{cases}$$

Take a derivative of $F_{Z|Y}[z|y]$ w.r.t. z , we have the p.d.f. as

$$f_{Z|Y}[z|y] = \mathbb{I}_{z \in (0, 1-y)} + \delta(z) \cdot y$$

where $\delta(z)$ is the Dirac delta function with $\forall z' \neq 0 : \delta(z') = 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(z) dz = 1$.

Problem 4

Consider X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n a sequence of random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. Let $S_n = \sum_i X_i/n$.

- Is it possible to show that $|S_n|$ is upper bounded 0.1 with probability at least 0.9 for very large n (e.g., $n > 100000000$)? If yes, prove it. If no, give a counter example.
- Suppose for any $i \neq j$, X_i and X_j are independent (but any three of them may not be independent), i.e., these random variables are pairwise independent. Under this condition, do Problem (a) again. (**Hint:** Look at the variance of S_n and try to use Chebyshev's inequality. Try to expand the formula of $\text{Var}(S_n)$, use linearity of expectation and $\mathbb{E}(X_i X_j) = \mathbb{E}(X_i)\mathbb{E}(X_j)$ for $i \neq j$.)

Solution

- No. Let us consider the case where

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } 1/2 \\ -1, & \text{with probability } 1/2, \end{cases}$$

and $X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_n$. Each X_i has mean 0 and variance 1. In this case, you can notice that the X_i are not i.i.d, but the statement of the question authorizes it. We will have $S_n = 1$ with probability 1.

- Since $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ for any i , then $\mathbb{E}[S_n] = 0$. We also have

$$\text{Var}(S_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_i X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Using Chebyshev, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_n - 0| \leq \varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}[|S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]| \leq \varepsilon] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \cdot n} > 0.9.$$