

62/100

Midterm Examination
CS 111
Fall 2015

Name: Minh Le 904418767.

Answer all questions. All questions are equally weighted. This is a closed book, closed notes test. You may not use electronic equipment to take the test.

1. One principle of achieving good robustness in a system is to be tolerant of inputs and strict about outputs. Why? Describe an example in the context of operating systems.

This principle allows greater tolerance in terms of input so there are more handled cases of the system but at the same time, the output is restricted to allow predictability/standardization. ~~more details~~

~~An example of I/O devices over USB ports~~

An example you have different I/O devices such as mouse and keyboard over USB while the type of raw output can vary, the OS has device drivers to restrict the actual outputs to a predictable and standardized format for the system to understand and use.

Not good example

2. What is the advantage of using the copy-on-write optimization when performing a fork in the Unix system?

With copy-on-write optimization, the child process produced by fork ~~shares the same~~ ¹ data memory is mapped to the parent's data memory unless either the child or parent writes (changes) to it. This optimization means producing a new process from an existing process ^{does not} ~~shares~~ ^{until} write resources on copying data over ~~and~~ ^{when} required.

One issue

3. What is emulation? What is the main challenge in software emulation?

Emulation is a form of virtualization in which one system replicates to have the specifications of the other. One example is emulating a 32 bit ^{linux} system with a virtual machine (though this attempt to opt for as much as possible by using as much native hardware) in a 64 bit windows OS.

(In the computer aspect)

The main challenge for software emulation is speed. Because one virtualizes everything, the overhead is much slower than using the system directly.

4. Round Robin, First Come First Serve, and Shortest Job First are three scheduling algorithms that can be used to schedule a CPU. Which one is likely to have the largest overhead? Why?

Shortest Job first will most likely have the largest overhead mainly because it requires the scheduler to do extra to maintain the process queue. While Round Robin is just preemps a process after a number of clock cycle & FCFS just boxes its schedule on request once, SJF must A) determine the length of each process request even without being able to know for sure (because it hasn't run yet!) and b) dynamically reorder the queue every time a new process joins the queue.

5. What is the difference between a first and a second level trap handler? Describe one advantage of using this two-level approach to handle traps.

K {

set up } The first level trap handler is responsible for pushing on the ^(and interrupted process's) context onto a stack (values in PC, PS registers, etc) to be restored later on. It then goes to the trap vector table to determine the second level handler. After setting up the priorities for such it jumps to the 2nd level handler.

execute } After trap setup, the 2nd level trap handler is responsible for actual execution after running its code to service the trap. It returns any values/return value + the 1st level handler who in turn unstacks the stack back to the pre-proc (or + schedules to select a new process).

The main advantage of this is modularity between set up and execution part of trap handling. This allows meaningful changes in the interrupt system if necessary for example, adding a new trap ^{trap with new just extend trap table + code for} or extending the interrupt system. It is necessary for example, adding a new trap + code for the 1st level handler.

6. What is fate sharing? Three common interprocess communications mechanisms are messages, shared memory, and remote procedure calls. For which of these is not ~~com~~ fate sharing most likely? Why?

W fate sharing between 2 entities (in the specific problem processor) means that the availability of each process is not independent. Thus, if one process has an error, it propagates to the other, but potentially disruptions not only to the buggy process but the other as well.

OP In IPC, shared memory is not likely for sharing.

- Even if the caller's code is buggy/created; it would not affect the callee since the caller must be located through virtualization of the 2 processes.
- Similarly message sending is an OS call/interaction between 2 process (through ~~the~~ receiver can be sent stored in the sender's address space). The clear specification of receiver can't receive unless sent to message still address to the sender of 2 processes. So in case one does not propagate.

★ Shared memory on the other hand is fate sharing. One can consider as extension of address spaces of both processes. This means if one is buggy and stores at this shared memory it does affect the other process's data and thus does propagate error messages. This is fate sharing.

7. What is a bus master? Why is a device other than a CPU likely to become a bus master, and what operations will it typically use this role to perform?

The Bus master has control and direction over who can use the bus to transfer data and when. I/O devices like hard disk controllers can become the bus master especially during copy large amounts of data to memory (like hard disks). CPU facilitate a "virtual" execution of I/O for various computational tasks, so allow the controller (such as hard disk) to be bus master and do direct memory access and copy data directly to memory.

8. What is the asynchronous completion problem? Is a spin lock a good solution for this problem? Why?

The asynchronous completion problem is when concurrent entities have different execution time and the order of execution plays a role in correctness. One example is 2 processes, one writing to a shared memory buffer while the other executing some computation. If one writes with a slow buffer while the other executes correctly the reader to the buffer can't do so until write finishes. (different execution speed). A spin lock

for the std::cout
or process activate
until write queue
finishes. is not a good solution simply because the compute
burns computational time resources (active process) just to
wait on an event (no computation done). A better
solution is blocking the writing process and sending
wake up (via interrupt) when the event it waited
for occurs. ~~to the~~ error

9. In the context of locks, what is the single acquire protocol? Describe a case in which it can be safely relaxed.

Single acquire protocol is one resource one process/thread can lock. This means one and exactly one process/thread can (mutex). ~~This can be relaxed~~ This strictness is unnecessary when talking about accessing critical sections of memory amongst multiple concurrent reading processes. Reading does not change the critical section so multiple process can concurrently use the shared resource ↑
↑ A without any ~~detected~~ race conditions.

10. Why can locks be correctly implemented using assembly language instructions like Compare and Swap or Test and Set?

Assembly instructions have atomic instructions meaning they are indivisible section of execution. (cannot be interrupted / pre-empted in the middle). This allows for locks to be correctly implemented because we want a processes, if attempting to obtain a lock, to either be able to do so without release pre-emption.

This desire is apparent in a given example say if a process a lock, regular actually release the lock on the resource and then suppose the process does not have access to the resource anymore. If that was pre-empted in the middle in another process sees the "free resource", suddenly the second process will have 2 problems as a critical resource. This new lock obtaining/release action needs to be indelible so we use small atomic instructions.