
SOLUTIONS FOR FINAL EXAMINATION

1. **(10 PTS; sampling theory)** Consider the following LTI system operating with a sampling frequency equal to F_s KHz:

$$y(n) = \frac{3}{4}y(n-1) + \frac{1}{2}x(n-1)$$

- (a) By how much will a tone at $F_s/4$ KHz be attenuated when filtered by this system? Is the attenuation dependent on the value of F_s ?
- (b) If a tone at 4 KHz is attenuated by $2/\sqrt{13}$, can you tell what the sampling frequency F_s is?

Solution: Taking the DTFT of both sides of the difference equation, we obtain the frequency response of the LTI system:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{Y(e^{j\omega})}{X(e^{j\omega})} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}e^{-j\omega}}{1 - \frac{3}{4}e^{-j\omega}} = \frac{2e^{-j\omega}}{4 - 3e^{-j\omega}}$$

The magnitude response of the LTI system is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} |H(e^{j\omega})| &= \left| \frac{2e^{-j\omega}}{4 - 3e^{-j\omega}} \right| \\ &= \frac{2}{|4 - 3[\cos(\omega) - j \sin(\omega)]|} \\ &= \frac{2}{|(4 - 3 \cos(\omega)) + j3 \sin(\omega)|} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{(4 - 3 \cos(\omega))^2 + (3 \sin(\omega))^2}} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{25 - 24 \cos(\omega)}} \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Now, for the tone at $F_s/4$ KHz, its digital angular frequency is given by

$$\omega = \frac{F_s/4}{F_s} \cdot 2\pi = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Therefore, the attenuation caused by the LTI system is given by

$$|H(e^{j\omega})|_{\omega=\pi/2} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{25 - 24 \cos(\pi/2)}} = \frac{2}{5}$$

It is obvious that the attenuation does *not* depend on the value of F_s .

(b) When the attenuation $|H(e^{j\omega})|$ is equal to $1/2$, we have

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{25 - 24 \cos(\omega)}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{13}}$$

or

$$\cos(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore, the digital angular frequency of the tone that satisfies the above condition is given by

$$\omega = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{3}$$

Since the corresponding analog frequency, denoted by F , is 4 KHz, the sampling frequency is given by

$$F_s = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \cdot F = 24 \text{ KHz}$$

2. **(25 PTS; difference equations; z-transform)** A causal system is composed of the series cascade of two LTI systems with impulse response sequences given by the expressions

$$h_1(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-1} u(2n-3), \quad h_2(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u(n-1)$$

- Determine the transfer function of the system.
- Determine the impulse response sequence of the system.
- Determine a model in terms of a constant-coefficient difference equation for the system. Denote its input and output sequences by $x(n)$ and $y(n)$, respectively.
- Is the system stable? What are its modes? zeros? poles?
- Assume the difference equation in part (c) is not relaxed. Determine initial conditions $y(-1)$ and $y(-2)$ such that only the largest mode appears at the output of the system when the input is $x(n) = \delta(n+3)$.

Solution:

(a) We can rewrite the impulse response sequences of $h_1(n)$ and $h_2(n)$ as

$$h_1(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-1} u(2n-3) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-1} u(n-2) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-2} u(n-2)$$

and

$$h_2(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u(n-1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} u(n-1)$$

Therefore, we have their z -transform as

$$H_1(z) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{z}{z - \frac{1}{4}} \cdot z^{-2}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{4}$$

$$H_2(z) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{z}{z - \frac{1}{2}} \cdot z^{-1}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2}$$

The whole system is composed of the series cascade of $H_1(z)$ and $H_2(z)$. So the transfer function of the system is

$$H(z) = H_1(z) \cdot H_2(z) = \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{(z - \frac{1}{4})(z - \frac{1}{2})z}, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{2}$$

(b) Using the partial fraction expansion, we have

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{A}{z - \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{B}{z - \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C}{z} \right)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{(z - \frac{1}{2})z} \Big|_{z=\frac{1}{4}} = -16, \quad B = \frac{1}{(z - \frac{1}{4})z} \Big|_{z=\frac{1}{2}} = 8, \quad C = \frac{1}{(z - \frac{1}{4})(z - \frac{1}{2})} \Big|_{z=0} = 8$$

Therefore, the impulse response sequence of the system is

$$h(n) = -\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-1} u(n-1) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} u(n-1) + \frac{1}{2} \delta(n-1)$$

(c) Let us denote the z -transform of $x(n)$ and $y(n)$ by $X(z)$ and $Y(z)$, respectively. From the transfer function

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{(z - \frac{1}{4})(z - \frac{1}{2})z} = \frac{Y(z)}{X(z)}$$

Then,

$$16z^3Y(z) - 12z^2Y(z) + 2zY(z) = X(z)$$

Therefore we have the causal system with the constant-coefficient difference equation as

$$16y(n) - 12y(n-1) + 2y(n-2) = x(n-3)$$

(d) By solving the characteristic equation

$$16\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 2 = 0$$

we get the modes

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$$

The poles can be obtained by finding the roots of the denominator of $H(z)$:

$$\left(p - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left(p - \frac{1}{2}\right) p = 0$$

We then have the poles as

$$p = 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$$

The number of zeros is equal to the number of poles. Therefore, we have three zeros at the points $z = \pm\infty, \pm\infty, \pm\infty$.

The system is stable because it is causal and all modes have magnitude strictly less than one.

(e) Now we have the non-relaxed system that is described by the difference equation

$$16y(n) - 12y(n-1) + 2y(n-2) = x(n-3), \quad n \geq 0$$

with initial conditions $y(-1)$ and $y(-2)$. For $x(n) = \delta(n+3)$, we have

$$16y(n) - 12y(n-1) + 2y(n-2) = 0, \quad n \geq 1$$

and

$$16y(0) - 12y(-1) + 2y(-2) = 1$$

The general solution of the homogeneous equation has the form

$$y(n) = C_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + C_2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n$$

for some constants C_1 and C_2 . Using the initial conditions $y(-1)$ and $y(-2)$, we get

$$\begin{cases} y(0) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{3}{4}y(-1) - \frac{1}{8}y(-2) = C_1 + C_2 \\ y(-1) = 2C_1 + 4C_2 \end{cases}$$

If only the largest mode, which is $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, appears at the output of the system, then we must have $C_2 = 0$ and

$$4y(-1) - 2y(-2) + 1 = 0$$

and

$$y(-1) = 2C_1$$

for any constant $C_1 \neq 0$ for the non-relaxed system. Any initial conditions $y(-1)$ and $y(-2)$ satisfying the above equations are the solution. For example, we can pick $y(-1) = 1$ and $y(-2) = \frac{5}{2}$ and obtain

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y(1) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad y(2) = \frac{1}{8}, \dots$$

3. (40 PTS; filters; frequency response; transfer function) A sixth-order causal comb (LTI) filter is described by the constant-coefficient difference equation

$$y(n) = \alpha^6 y(n-6) + x(n) + x(n-6)$$

- Determine the filter transfer function.
- Determine the location of the zeros and poles of the filter.
- What are the modes of the system?
- Find conditions on α to ensure BIBO stability.
- Find the impulse response sequence of the filter.
- Determine the magnitude frequency response of the filter.

- (g) Determine the step response of the filter.
 (h) If the filter is operating at F_s KHz, by how much will a tone at $\frac{F_s}{12}$ KHz be attenuated?

Solution:

- (a) The transfer function can be found by taking the z -transform of both sides of the difference equation:

$$Y(z) = \alpha^6 z^{-6} Y(z) + X(z) + z^{-6} X(z)$$

Solving for $H(z) = Y(z)/X(z)$, we obtain

$$H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{X(z)} = \frac{1 + z^{-6}}{1 - \alpha^6 z^{-6}}$$

- (b) The zeros can be found by solving $z^6 + 1 = 0$. The result will be the roots of unity; the k -th zero is located at

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= (-1)^{1/6} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}k} \\ &= e^{j\frac{\pi}{6}} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}k} \\ &= e^{j(\frac{2\pi}{6}k + \frac{\pi}{6})}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 5 \end{aligned}$$

The poles can be found by solving $z^6 - \alpha^6 = 0$. The k -th pole is located at

$$p_k = |\alpha| e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}k}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 5$$

- (c) The characteristic equation is given by

$$\lambda^6 - \alpha^6 = 0$$

Solving the characteristic equation, we have the the modes are located at

$$\lambda_\ell = |\alpha| e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}\ell}$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 5$.

- (d) Since the system is causal, in order for it to be stable, all modes must be strictly inside the unit-circle ($|\lambda_\ell| < 1$). This condition simplifies to

$$|\alpha| < 1$$

- (e) To compute the impulse response of the filter, we compute the inverse z -transform of the transfer function $H(z)$. We already factored the transfer function as

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= \frac{\prod_{k=0}^5 (z - z_k)}{\prod_{k=0}^5 (z - p_k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^5 \frac{A_k}{z - p_k} \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients A_k can be obtained by

$$A_k = H(z)(z - p_k)|_{z=p_k} = \frac{\prod_{\ell=0}^5 (p_k - z_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell \neq k}^5 (p_k - p_\ell)}$$

since the poles are distinct. Now, since the system is causal, we use the transform pair

$$p_k^{n-1}u(n-1) \longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{z-p_k}, \quad |z| > |p_k|$$

to obtain

$$h(n) = \sum_{k=0}^5 A_k p_k^{n-1} u(n-1)$$

(f) To obtain the frequency response of the filter, we simply evaluate $H(z)$ at $z = e^{j\omega}$:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1 + e^{-6j\omega}}{1 - \alpha^6 e^{-6j\omega}}$$

To find the magnitude response, we must compute $|H(e^{j\omega})|$:

$$\begin{aligned} |H(e^{j\omega})| &= \sqrt{|H(e^{j\omega})|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{H(e^{j\omega})[H(e^{j\omega})^*]} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1 + e^{-6j\omega}}{1 - \alpha^6 e^{-6j\omega}} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{6j\omega}}{1 - \alpha^6 e^{6j\omega}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2 + e^{-6j\omega} + e^{6j\omega}}{1 - \alpha^6 e^{-6j\omega} - \alpha^6 e^{6j\omega} + \alpha^{12}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2 + 2 \cos(6\omega)}{1 - 2\alpha^6 \cos(6\omega) + \alpha^{12}}} \end{aligned}$$

(g) To compute the step-response, we only need to convolve the impulse response with a step function:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{\text{step}}(n) &= h(n) \star u(n) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^5 A_\ell p_\ell^{k-1} u(k-1) u(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^5 A_\ell \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} p_\ell^{k-1} u(k-1) u(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^5 A_\ell p_\ell^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n p_\ell^k \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^5 A_\ell p_\ell^{-1} w_\ell(n) u(n) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_\ell(n) \triangleq \begin{cases} \frac{1-p_\ell^{n+1}}{1-p_\ell} - 1, & p_\ell \neq 1 \\ n, & p_\ell = 1 \end{cases}$$

- (h) Let $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \frac{F_s}{12}$. This frequency will map to $\omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{6}$. To compute by how much the tone will be attenuated, we need to compute the magnitude response of $H(e^{j\omega})$ and evaluate it at $\omega = \omega_0$. Before we evaluate the magnitude response, we will first compute $H(e^{j\omega_0})$. We have

$$H(e^{j\omega_0}) = \frac{0}{1 - \alpha^6} = 0$$

since $e^{j\omega_0}$ corresponds to a zero of the transfer function. Therefore, the tone at $F_s/12$ will be completely eliminated.

4. (10 PTS; DFT) Consider a sequence $x(n)$ of length N , where N is even.

- (a) What is the result of the following succession of operations on $x(n)$?

$$x(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} \bullet \xrightarrow{(-j)^k} \bullet \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} \bullet \xrightarrow{(-1)^n} \bullet \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} Y(k)$$

That is, $x(n)$ is first transformed by an N -point DFT, the result is modulated by the sequence $(-j)^k$, transformed by a second N -point DFT, modulated again by $(-1)^n$, and transformed one more time by the N -point inverse DFT.

- (b) How does the energy of the output sequence $Y(k)$ relate to the energy of $x(n)$?

Solution: We first review some useful properties.

- (i) Two consecutive DFTs: If

$$x(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} X(k) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} y(n)$$

then

$$y(n) = N \cdot x(-n \bmod N)$$

This property is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) \cdot W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot W_N^{mk} \right] \cdot W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W_N^{(m+n)k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot N \cdot \delta(m+n) \\ &= N \cdot x(-n \bmod N) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ denotes the Kronecker delta and

$$W_N \triangleq e^{-j2\pi/N}$$

In the above derivation, we used the fact that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W_N^{nk} = N \cdot \delta(n)$$

(ii) Two consecutive inverse DFTs: If

$$x(n) \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} Z(k) \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} z(n)$$

then

$$z(n) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot x(-n \bmod N)$$

This property is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} z(n) &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} Z(k) \cdot W_N^{-kn} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot W_N^{-mk} \right] \cdot W_N^{-kn} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W_N^{-(m+n)k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot N \cdot \delta(m+n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot x(-n \bmod N) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) DFT-modulation-DFT: If

$$x(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} X(k) \xrightarrow{(-1)^k} S(k) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} w(n)$$

and N is even, then

$$w(n) = N \cdot x((-n + N/2) \bmod N)$$

This property is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} S(k) \cdot W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) \cdot (-1)^k \cdot W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) \cdot W_N^{-kN/2} \cdot W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot W_N^{mk} \right] \cdot W_N^{-kN/2} \cdot W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W_N^{(m+n-N/2)k} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(m) \cdot N \cdot \delta((m+n-N/2) \bmod N) \\
&= N \cdot x((-n+N/2) \bmod N)
\end{aligned}$$

We also know that

$$x(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} X(k) \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} x(n)$$

(a) Let us denote the intermediate sequences as follows:

$$x(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} X(k) \xrightarrow{(-j)^k} S(k) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} z(n) \xrightarrow{(-1)^n} w(n) \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} Y(k)$$

where $X(k)$ is the DFT of $x(n)$. We investigate this chain reversely. First, from $w(n)$ to $Y(k)$, it is equivalent to

$$w(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} W(k) \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} w(n) \xrightarrow{\text{IDFT}} Y(k)$$

where $W(k)$ denotes the DFT of $w(n)$. Therefore, by using the property for two consecutive IDFTs, we have

$$Y(k) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot W(-k \bmod N)$$

Second, from $S(k)$ to $w(n)$ and then to $W(k)$, the relation is given by

$$S(k) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} z(n) \xrightarrow{(-1)^n} w(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DFT}} W(k)$$

Using the property for DFT-modulation-DFT, we have

$$W(k) = N \cdot S((-k+N/2) \bmod N)$$

Third, from $x(n)$ to $S(k)$, it is easy to verify that

$$S(k) = (-j)^k \cdot X(k)$$

Therefore, we get

$$Y(k) = S((k+N/2) \bmod N) = (-j)^{k+N/2} \cdot X((k+N/2) \bmod N)$$

(b) The energy of $Y(k)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |Y(k)|^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |(-j)^{k+N/2} \cdot X((k+N/2) \bmod N)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |X((k+N/2) \bmod N)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |X(k)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Using Parseval's relation, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |X(k)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |x(n)|^2$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |Y(k)|^2 = N \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |x(n)|^2$$

That is, the energy of $Y(k)$ is N -fold that of $x(n)$.

5. (10 PTS; DFT) Consider a sequence $x(n)$ of length N , where N is even.

- If we apply the N -point DFT to $x(n)$ a total of $N/2$ times successively, what is the resulting sequence?
- If we apply the N -point DFT to $x(-n \bmod N)$ a total of N times successively, what is the resulting sequence?

Solution: We start with the simple case. Applying one DFT to $x(n)$, we get $X(k)$. Applying two DFTs to $x(n)$, we get $N \cdot x(-n \bmod N)$. Applying three DFTs to $x(n)$ in a row is equivalent to applying two DFTs to $X(k)$, so we get $N \cdot X(-k \bmod N)$. Applying four DFTs to $x(n)$ in a row is equivalent to applying two DFTs to $N \cdot x(-n \bmod N)$, so we get $N^2 \cdot x(n)$, which is equal to the original sequence $x(n)$ apart from the scaling factor N^2 . Therefore, we observe the following pattern:

$$\text{DFT}^m[x(n)] = \begin{cases} N^{2\ell} \cdot x(n), & m = 4\ell \\ N^{2\ell} \cdot X(k), & m = 4\ell + 1 \\ N^{2\ell+1} \cdot x(-n \bmod N), & m = 4\ell + 2 \\ N^{2\ell+1} \cdot X(-k \bmod N), & m = 4\ell + 3 \end{cases}$$

where we use $\text{DFT}^m[x(n)]$ to denote the result of m DFTs to $x(n)$ in a row and use ℓ to denote an integer.

- If we apply the N -point DFT to $x(n)$ a total of $N/2$ times successively, the resulting sequence will depend on the value of $N/2$:

$$\text{DFT}^{N/2}[x(n)] = \begin{cases} N^{2\ell} \cdot x(n), & N/2 = 4\ell \\ N^{2\ell} \cdot X(k), & N/2 = 4\ell + 1 \\ N^{2\ell+1} \cdot x(-n \bmod N), & N/2 = 4\ell + 2 \\ N^{2\ell+1} \cdot X(-k \bmod N), & N/2 = 4\ell + 3 \end{cases}$$

- Applying the N -point DFT to $x(-n \bmod N)$ a total of N times successively is equivalent to applying N -point DFT to $x(n)$ a total of $N + 2$ times successively and scaling by $1/N$. Therefore, the resulting sequence is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DFT}^N[x(-n \bmod N)] &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot \text{DFT}^{N+2}[x(n)] \\ &= \begin{cases} N^{2\ell-1} \cdot x(n), & N + 2 = 4\ell \\ N^{2\ell-1} \cdot X(k), & N + 2 = 4\ell + 1 \\ N^{2\ell} \cdot x(-n \bmod N), & N + 2 = 4\ell + 2 \\ N^{2\ell} \cdot X(-k \bmod N), & N + 2 = 4\ell + 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

6. (5 PTS; DTFT) Evaluate the following series using properties of the DTFT:

$$\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2}$$

Solution: The series can be expressed by

$$\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^4 \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2}$$

where

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^4 \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} = \frac{\cos^2(\pi/4)}{1} + \frac{\cos^2(\pi/2)}{4} + \frac{\cos^2(3\pi/4)}{9} + \frac{\cos^2(\pi)}{16} = \frac{89}{144}$$

Recall from expression (13.55) in Example 13.12 in the notes that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

Moreover, introduce the sequence

$$x(n) = \frac{\sin^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{16} \cdot \text{sinc}^2(\pi n/4)$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{89}{144} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x(n)$$

Since $x(n)$ is an even function of n , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n) - x(0) \right]$$

where

$$x(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{16} \cdot \text{sinc}^2(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{16}$$

Using Parseval's relation, the series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n)$ can be evaluated by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^2}{16} \cdot \text{sinc}^2(\pi n/4) \\ &= \pi^2 \cdot \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{4} \cdot \text{sinc}(\pi n/4) \right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{4} \cdot \text{sinc}(\pi n/4) \right]^* \\ &= \pi^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{rect} \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi/4} \right) \cdot \left[\text{rect} \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi/4} \right) \right]^* d\omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \pi^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{rect}^2\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi/4}\right) d\omega \\
&= \pi^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{rect}\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi/4}\right) d\omega \\
&= \pi^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \\
&= \frac{\pi^2}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{rect}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}\right) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1, & |\omega| < \omega_c \\ 0, & |\omega| \geq \omega_c \end{cases}, \quad \omega_c > 0$$

Therefore, we end up with

$$\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{\cos^2(\pi n/4)}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{89}{144} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{4} - \frac{\pi^2}{16} \right] = \frac{7\pi^2}{96} - \frac{89}{144} = \frac{21\pi^2 - 178}{288} \approx 0.101603$$