

---

## FINAL PRACTICE PROBLEMS # 2

Monday, Jun 11st, 2018

---

### Problem 1 (KERNEL K-MEANS)

First given a clustering  $S_i$ , we will put

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{|S_i|} \sum_{x \in S_i} \phi(x)$$

to minimize  $\sum_{x \in S_i} \|\phi(x) - \mu_i\|_2^2$

Following this, the optimal clustering is given by assigning  $x_i$  to the cluster  $\arg \min_k f(i, k)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f(i, k) &= \|\phi(x_i) - \mu_k\|^2 \\ &= \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_i) - 2\phi(x_i)^T \mu_k + \mu_k^T \mu_k \\ &= \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_i) - \frac{2}{|S_k|} \sum_{x_j \in S_k} \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j) + \frac{1}{|S_k|^2} \sum_{x_j, x_l \in S_k \times S_k} \phi(x_j)^T \phi(x_l) \\ &= K(x_i, x_i) - \frac{2}{|S_k|} \sum_{x_j \in S_k} K(x_i, x_j) + \frac{1}{|S_k|^2} \sum_{x_j, x_l \in S_k \times S_k} K(x_j, x_l) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{class}(i) = \arg \min_k \frac{1}{|S_k|^2} \sum_{x_j, x_l \in S_k \times S_k} K(x_j, x_l) - \frac{2}{|S_k|} \sum_{x_j \in S_k} K(x_i, x_j)$$

### Problem 2 (BOOSTING)

On this dataset, there are four nontrivial things that a stump could do:

- $s_1$  classifies the left two points as positive;
- $s_2$  classifies the right two points as positive;
- $s_3$  classifies the top two points as positive;
- $s_4$  classifies the bottom two points as positive.

So the function you end up learning could be anything of the form

$$\hat{y}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)$$

where each  $f_i$  is one of the  $s_j$ .

Now, note that each copy of  $s_1$  in that sum cancels out a copy of  $s_2$ , because they're opposite, and similarly for  $s_3$  and  $s_4$ . So  $\hat{y}$  is really an integer combination  $\hat{y}(x) = as_1(x) + bs_3(x)$

But the first half of that expression doesn't change when you move from top to bottom, and the second half always changes by the same amount ( $b$ ). So we know that the output of  $\hat{y}$  must either always increase as the datapoint moves from top to bottom (if  $b < 0$ ), or always decrease (if  $b > 0$ ).

If it always increases when moving from top to bottom, then it can't get both the top-left and bottom-left points correct (because the top one is greater than 0 and the bottom one is less than 0).

If it always decreases, then similarly it can't get both the top-right and bottom-right points correct.

Therefore, no possible sum of boosted stumps can classify the dataset perfectly,

### Problem 3 (SVM)

Recall the soft-margin SVM in the primal:

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \{\xi_n\}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n \\ y_n(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq 1 - \xi_n \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ \xi_n \geq 0 \quad n \in \{1, \dots, N\} \end{aligned}$$

- (a)  $\alpha_n$  represents the dual variable associated with constraint  $n$ . The support vectors are the datapoints  $n$  such that the optimal values of  $\alpha_n^*$ ,  $\alpha_n^* > 0$ . Thus, we have  $\alpha_1^* > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2^* > 0$  and  $\alpha_n^* = 0$  for  $n = \{3, \dots, N\}$ .

The KKT conditions (complementary slackness) require that  $\alpha_n^* (1 - \xi_n - y_n(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_n + b^*)) = 0$  and  $(C - \alpha_n^*)\xi_n = 0$ .

For  $n \in \{3, \dots, N\}$ , we have  $\alpha_n^* = 0$  so that  $\xi_n = 0$ . For support vectors  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have  $\alpha_n^* > 0$  so that  $\xi_n = 1 - y_n(\mathbf{w}^{*T} \mathbf{x}_n + b^*)$ .

Answers based on intuition are also acceptable, *i.e.*, that slack is zero for non support vectors.

- (b) i. Decreases  
ii. Decreases

### Problem 4 (KERNEL)

First we expand the dot product inside, and square the entire sum. We will get a sum of the squares of the components and a sum of the cross products.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} + c)^2 &= (c + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i)^2 \\ &= c^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2x_i y_i x_j y_j + \sum_{i=1}^n 2x_i y_i c \end{aligned}$$

Pulling this sum into a dot product of  $x$  components and  $y$  components, we have

$$\Phi(x) = [c, x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, \dots, \sqrt{2}x_1x_n, \sqrt{2}x_2x_3, \dots, \sqrt{2}x_{n-1}x_n, \sqrt{2c}x_1, \dots, \sqrt{2c}x_n]$$

In this feature mapping, we have  $c$ , the squared components of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\sqrt{2}$  multiplied by all of the cross terms, and  $\sqrt{2c}$  multiplied by all of the components.

**Problem 5** (LOGISTIC REGRESSION AND PERCEPTRON (28 pts))

- (a) From the update rule  $\nabla_w J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i) \mathbf{x}_i$ .  
From the expression for the gradient you can see that

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n (h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i) x_{i,j}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_k \partial w_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i) x_{i,j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) x_{i,j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) (1 - h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i)) x_{i,j} x_{i,k} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\nabla_w^2 J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) (1 - h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i)) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u} = \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \neq 0$$

- (b)

$$\mathbf{w}^{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^t - \eta_t (h_{\mathbf{w}^t}(\mathbf{x}_{i(t)}) - y_{i(t)}) \mathbf{x}_{i(t)}$$

Here  $i(t) \sim \text{Uniform}[1, \dots, n]$

- (c)

$$\mathbf{w}^{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^t - \eta_t (\hat{y}_i^t - y_i) \mathbf{x}_i$$

When  $\hat{y}_i^t = y_i$ , no update takes place, whereas when  $\hat{y}_i^t - y_i = 1$  or  $-1$  the corresponding update takes place. If we use new labels  $z_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  instead of  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  then the update rule becomes

$$\mathbf{w}^{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^t + 2\eta_t z_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

For  $\eta = 0.5$  we have the Perceptron algorithm as discussed in class.

## Problem 6 (EM ALGORITHM AND GAUSSIAN MIXTURE MODEL)

The new estimates will be

$$w_1 = (0.2 + 0.2 + 0.8 + 0.9 + 0.9)/5 = 0.6$$

$$w_2 = (0.8 + 0.8 + 0.2 + 0.1 + 0.1)/5 = 0.4$$

$$\mu_1 = (0.2 \times 5 + 0.2 \times 15 + 0.8 \times 25 + 0.9 \times 30 + 0.9 \times 40)/(0.2 + 0.2 + 0.8 + 0.9 + 0.9) = 29$$

$$\mu_2 = (0.8 \times 5 + 0.8 \times 15 + 0.2 \times 25 + 0.1 \times 30 + 0.1 \times 40)/(0.8 + 0.8 + 0.2 + 0.1 + 0.1) = 14$$