

1. (a) (5 points) Use induction to show that  $n! > 2^n$  for any natural number  $n \geq 4$ .

(Note that here the base case will be  $n = 4$  instead of  $n = 1$ . Also,  $n!$  is notation for  $n \times (n-1) \cdots 2 \times 1$ .)

- (b) (5 points) Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a^2 - b^2$  is divisible by 3. Prove that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

a) We will prove that  $n! > 2^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 4$  with induction. Let  $P(n)$  be the statement  $n! > 2^n$ .

Base case:  $P(4)$ . We have  $4! > 2^4 \Leftrightarrow 24 > 16$  which is true.

Inductive hypothesis: Assume for some  $n = k$  that  $P(k)$  is true where  $k \geq 4$ .

Inductive Step: Let us show that if our inductive hypothesis holds, then  $P(k+1)$  is also true. Consider  $P(k+1) \Rightarrow (k+1)! > 2^{k+1}$ . Since we know from our Inductive hypothesis that  $P(k) \Rightarrow k! > 2^k \Leftrightarrow 2 \cdot k! > 2^{k+1}$  as  $2 > 0$ .

Since  $\frac{k+1}{2} > 1$  clearly for  $k \geq 4$ , we have that  $(k+1)k! > 2^{k+1}$  or  $(k+1)! > 2^{k+1}$  as need for  $P(k+1)$  to be true. By principle of mathematical induction, we have that  $n! > 2^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ .

- b) For  $\sim$  to be an equivalence Relation, we must have that it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Symmetry: Consider  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \sim b$ . We have that  $a^2 - b^2$  is divisible by 3, this means that  $(-1) \cdot (a^2 - b^2) = b^2 - a^2$  must also be divisible by 3. This means  $b \sim a$ .

Reflexivity: Consider  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $a^2 - a^2 = 0 \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since 0 is divisible by 3, we have that  $ba \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \sim a$ .

Transitivity: Consider  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \sim b$ ,  $b \sim c$ . We have that  $a^2 - b^2$  and  $b^2 - c^2$  are divisible by 3. It must be then that  $(a^2 - b^2) + (b^2 - c^2) = a^2 - c^2$  is also divisible by 3. So  $a \sim c$ .

Since Reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity all hold,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

2. (a) (2 points) State the definition of the supremum of a set of real numbers. 4

(b) (2 points) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of the real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove directly from the definition of supremum that if  $A \subset B$ , then  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ .

(c) (3 points) Use the previous part to show that if  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$  is a nested decreasing sequence of sets of **positive** real numbers, then the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  given by  $a_n = \sup A_n$  is a convergent sequence. 3

(d) (3 points) Find the supremum of the set

$$\left\{ \left(2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{8}\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad 2$$

and justify how you know that this is the supremum.

a) First let us define an upper bound. An upper bound  $u_A$  of a set  $A$  of real numbers is defined as some  $u_A \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\forall a \in A, u_A \geq a$ . The supremum is an upper bound of  $A$  such that for all other upper bounds  $u_{A'}, u_s \leq u_A$ . ✓

b) Suppose towards a contradiction that  $\sup A > \sup B$ . By definition, this means that  $\sup B$  is not an upper bound of  $A$ . Thus  $\exists a \in A$  s.t.  $a > \sup B$ . This however is a contradiction, as  $A \subset B$ , meaning  $\forall a \in A, a \in B$ , and  $\sup B$  is an upper bound for  $B$  so  $\forall b \in B, \sup B \geq b$ . Thus it must be that  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ . ✓

c) From part (b), we have that  $a_n = \sup A_n \geq a_m = \sup A_m$  if  $n \leq m$ . This means that  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence. Furthermore, since all  $A_n$  are sequences of positive real numbers, we know that  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  must be bounded below, specifically 0 works as a lower bound. From class we know that every bounded, monotonic sequence must converge, which we have shown  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is. ✓

d) Consider the expression  $\left(2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{8}\right) \leq \left(2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \cdot 1$  (The max  $\sin()$  can be is 1) =  $\left(2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \leq 2$ . Thus we know that 2 is an upper bound for the set. Now let us show that 2 is the supremum. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we need to show that  $\exists x$  in the set s.t.  $x > 2 - \epsilon$ . In other words, we need to find an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $2 - \frac{1}{n+1} > 2 - \epsilon$  (we ignore the  $\sin$  part here as we can assume it is at its max of 1). Since  $2 > 0$ , we can need to find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $-\frac{1}{n+1} < -\epsilon$ , or  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{n+1}$  ( $\epsilon, \frac{1}{n+1} > 0$ )  $\Leftrightarrow n < \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1$ . By Archimedes' principle we know such an  $n$  exists. Thus for we can say that 2 is the supremum as no other smaller number can be an upper bound.

for this, need to use the fact that  $\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{8}\right) = 1$  infinitely often

3. (a) (2 points) State the definition of convergence.

(b) (4 points) Prove that the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  given by  $a_n = \frac{5n^2 - 7n + 1}{12n^2 - 7n + 3}$  converges to  $\frac{5}{12}$ .

(c) (4 points) We say that a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of real numbers is eventually bounded if there exists an index  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\{a_n | n \geq N\}$  is a bounded set. Prove that a sequence is eventually bounded if and only if it is bounded.

a) For some sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  to converge, it must be that  $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > n_\epsilon \Rightarrow |a - a_n| < \epsilon$ . ✓

b) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We need to find  $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n > n_\epsilon$ ,

$$\left| \frac{5n^2 - 7n + 1}{12n^2 - 7n + 3} - \frac{5}{12} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{60n^2 - 84n + 12 - 60n^2 + 35n - 15}{144n^2 - 84n + 36} \right| < \epsilon \iff \left| \frac{-49n - 3}{144n^2 - 84n + 36} \right| < \epsilon$$

Since  $\left| \frac{-49n - 3}{144n^2 - 84n + 36} \right| < \frac{49n}{144n^2 - 84n} = \frac{49}{144n - 84}$ , if we find  $n$  s.t.  $\frac{49}{144n - 84} < \epsilon$  we have what we

need. This means we need to find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\frac{49}{\epsilon} + 84 < 144n$ . By Archimedes' principle, we know such an  $n$  exists, so let  $n_\epsilon$  be such an  $n$ . Since we chose  $\epsilon$  to be arbitrary, we have that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\left| \frac{5n^2 - 7n + 1}{12n^2 - 7n + 3} - \frac{5}{12} \right| < \epsilon$  or converges to  $\frac{5}{12}$ . ✓

c) There are 2 cases.

Case 1:  $\Rightarrow$ : Consider the set  $\{a_n | n < N\}$  clearly this set is finite so it must have a max and min value, Thus  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded above by  $\max(\max(\{a_n | n < N\}), \text{upper bound for } \{a_n | n > N\})$  and similarly bounded below. ✓

Case 2:  $\Leftarrow$ : Clearly let  $N=1$ , since  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded,  $\{a_n | n > 1\}$  is also bounded, so  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is eventually bounded. ✓

Since both imply the other, the statements are logically equivalent.